Exhibit W

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| IN RE: |) Case No. 11-30210-bjh11) Chapter 11 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| FRE REAL ESTATE, INC., Debtor. |) Courtroom 2) 1100 Commerce Street) Dallas, Texas 75242-1496 |
| |) February 28, 2011 9:08 A.M. |

TRANSCRIPT OF [1] MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY (TO ANNUL, OR ALTERNATIVELY) FILED BY STATE BANK OF TEXAS (56). [2] MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY (TO RETROACTIVELY ANNUL THE AUTOMATIC STAY FILED BY FIRST BANK & TRUST CO. (46). [3] MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY/ MOTION TO ANNUL THE AUTOMATIC STAY FILED BY AMERICAN BANK OF COMMERCE (83). [4] MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY FILED BY RMR INVESTMENTS, INC. (136).

BEFORE HONORABLE BARBARA J. HOUSER UNITED STATES CHIEF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor:

Neligan Foley LLP

By: DOUGLAS JAMES BUNCHER, ESQ.

JOHN D. GAITHER, ESQ. SEYMOUR ROBERTS, ESQ.

325 N. St. Paul, Suite 3600

Dallas, Texas 75201

ECRO:

NICOLE WHITTINGTON

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE:

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.

435 Riverview Circle

New Hope, Pennsylvania 18938 Telephone: 215-862-1115

Facsimile: 215-862-6639
e-mail CourtTranscripts@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

For First Bank & Trust Co. and The Bank of Weatherford:

Olson, Nicoud & Gueck, LLP By: DENNIS OLIVER OLSON, ESQ. 1201 Main Street, Suite 2470 2

Dallas, Texas 75202

For Highland Capital Management, LP:

Cole Schotz Meisel Forman & Leonard PA

By: MICHAEL D. WARNER, ESQ. 1700 City Center Tower II

301 Commerce Street Fort Worth, Texas 76102

For American Bank of Commerce:

Hunton & Williams, LLP By: CAMERON W. KINVIG, ESQ 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75202

For Petra CRE Ltd.:

Stutzman Bromberg Esserman & Plifka

By: JO E. HARTWICK, ESQ. 2323 Bryan Street, Suite 2200

Dallas, Texas 75201

For Regions Bank:

Strasburger & Price, LLP By: ROBERT P. FRANKE, ESQ. 901 Main Street, Suite 4300

Dallas, Texas 75202

For Wells Fargo Capital

Finance, Inc.:

K & L Gates LLP

By: DAVID WEITMAN, ESQ. 1717 Main Street Suite 2800

Dallas, Texas 75201

| | | | | 3 |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | INDEX | | | |
| WITNESS F | OR FIRST BANK & TRUST | Direct Cr | oss Redirect | Recross |
| TERRY GF By Mr. By Mr. | | 10 | 17 | |
| WITNESS F | WITNESS FOR THE DEBTOR Direct Cross Redirect Recross | | | |
| By Mr. | JAY LaJONE By Mr. Gaither 25 By Mr. Olson 28 | | | |
| FIRST BA | FIRST BANK & TRUST'S EXHIBITS Marked Received | | | <u>Received</u> |
| 16 Mod 19 Res 20 Not | straining order lification renewal and extension straining order tice of acceleration and dem mail | | 10 11 12 ter 13 18 | 11 11 12 13 18 |

| 1 | 4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: We have matters in FRE Real Estate this (|
| 2 | morning. |
| 3 | I'll take appearances from the parties, please. |
| 4 | MR. GAITHER: Good morning, Your Honor. John |
| 5 | Gaither, Seymour Roberts, and Doug Buncher for the debtor, FRE. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Mr. Olson? |
| 7 | MR. OLSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Dennis Olson |
| 8 | for the First Bank and Trust, and the Bank of Weatherford. |
| 9 | MR. WARNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael |
| 10 | Warner Cole Schotz Meisel Forman & Leonard on behalf of HCM, |
| 11 | LP. |
| 12 | MR. KINVIG: Good morning, Your Honor. Cameron |
| 13 | Kinvig on behalf of American Bank of Commerce. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Ms. Hartwick. |
| 15 | MS. HARTWICK: Good morning, Your Honor. Jo |
| 16 | Hartwick on behalf of Petra. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Mr. Franke? |
| 18 | MR. FRANKE: Good morning, Your Honor. Bob Frank on |
| 19 | behalf of Regions Bank. |
| 20 | MR. WEITMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. David |
| 21 | Weitman with the law firm of K&L Gates for Wells Fargo Capital |
| 22 | Finance. I think we'll take up the dismissal order afterward, |
| 23 | but I did want to appear early. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 25 | MR. WEITMAN: Thank you. |
| | |

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Who wants to |
| 2 | start? Mr. Kinvig? |
| 3 | MR. KINVIG: Well, Your Honor, I will start, but I |
| 4 | will not be long. We reached an agreement with the debtor on |
| 5 | Friday late to a global agreement actually that dealt with |
| 6 | many of our issues, but one of those things is that the debtor |
| 7 | has agreed to annul the automatic stay. And we have uploaded a |
| 8 | proposed order that we would ask Your Honor to consider. |
| 9 | Both parties agree to the substance of the proposed |
| 10 | order, and it's actually quite short. It just simply says that |
| 11 | the automatic stay is annulled, that the motion is granted. |
| 12 | So, we would ask that you sign that. |
| 13 | Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. ROBERTS: Seymour Roberts for FRE. That's |
| 15 | correct, Judge. We reached an agreement on Friday. |
| 16 | We've also reached an agreement with Regions Bank, |
| 17 | and we've uploaded a I believe Mr. Franke has either |
| 18 | uploaded an order or he's got it here. |
| 19 | MR. FRANKE: Your Honor, I have Regions Bank. I |
| 20 | have it here. I will upload it when I get back to my office. |
| 21 | THE COURT: And is it similarly |
| 22 | MR. ROBERTS: Yes. |
| 23 | THE COURT: short and sweet? |
| 24 | MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Very. |
| 25 | MR. FRANKE: Similarly short and sweet. Just |
| | |

```
6
  basically says that the stay is annulled.
             THE COURT: All right.
2
             MR. ROBERTS: We've got -- and also an agreement, I
3
   think, with First -- what's the name of the bank?
             MR. GAITHER: State Bank, Your Honor. Mark
5
   Stromberg's client. He's not here this morning, but he asked
   me to announce the settlement in his stead. We reached an
   agreement to annul the stay, and uploaded Friday afternoon a
   simple order that just states that the motion is granted.
10
             THE COURT: Very well.
             MR. GAITHER: I believe that leaves Mr. Olson's
11
12 | motion, Your Honor. And since it's his motion, I'll allow him
  to proceed first. I don't know if you would like to take that
13
   up prior to the issues on the dismissal order.
14
             THE COURT: I think so.
15
             MR. GAITHER: Okay.
16
             THE COURT: That may help simplify some of the issues
17
   on the dismissal order, or not, but --
18
19
             MR. GAITHER: Okay.
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Olson, please.
20
             MR. OLSON: Your Honor, you may have seen where Mr.
21
   Neligan uploaded the list of exhibits for the hearing. And I
22
   had joined in that, and said that I would provide the Court
23
   with a copy of those exhibits that we were actually going to
24
25
   use.
```

| | 7 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 | MR. OLSON: I've distilled it down to four. May I |
| 3 | approach? |
| 4 | THE COURT: You may, please. Thank you. |
| 5 | MR. OLSON: And we've continued the same numbering |
| 6 | that we had in the exhibits attached to our motion. So, what I |
| 7 | should have handed you would be Exhibits 14, 16, 19, and 20. |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 9 | MR. OLSON: We'd ask the Court to take judicial |
| 10 | notice of the evidence that was received on the motion to |
| 11 | dismiss, particularly the stipulation that Mr. Buncher and I |
| 12 | entered into in open Court. That was attached as an exhibit to |
| 13 | the response filed by Mr. Gaither. |
| 14 | And in the big stipulation that was filed with the |
| 15 | Court, I have copied those pages that pertain to the Iori |
| 16 | Centura (phonetic) property. Just for your reference, if I |
| 17 | could hand those up. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Please. |
| 19 | MR. OLSON: Your Honor, they're on Pages 19, 20, and |
| 20 | 21. |
| 21 | MR. GAITHER: Do you have a copy for me, Mr. Olson? |
| 22 | MR. OLSON: No, I don't even have one for me. |
| 23 | MR. GAITHER: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. OLSON: May I proceed? |
| 25 | THE COURT: You may, please. |
| | |
| | |

```
1
             MR. OLSON: I'd like to call my first witness.
                                                             I'll
2
   skip opening statement. Mr. Gaither, would you like to --
             MR. GAITHER: Your Honor --
3
             MR. OLSON: -- say anything before I do that?
 5
             MR. GAITHER: I can make a short opening.
   stipulation that was attached to our motion as Exhibit A, which
 6
   Mr. Olson has just handed you. I think that's sufficient to
   decide the issues on this motion myself because that
   stipulation shows that Mr. Olson's client had actual notice of
   both the conveyance of their collateral to FRE and FRE's
10
   bankruptcy prior to the time they foreclosed, and that they
11
   proceeded to foreclose anyway.
12
             I would submit that that is sufficient evidence to
13
   allow the Court to make a decision to disallow -- deny Mr.
14
   Olson's motion. I guess that would be the content of my
15
   opening, as well. But if he would like to proceed with his
16
   witness, we can do that, as well.
17
             THE COURT: All right.
18
             MR. OLSON: Your Honor, we would call Terry Grantham.
19
             THE COURT: Mr. Grantham, if you'd come forward and
20
   be sworn. You're going to take this witness chair right here.
21
   And before you sit down, if you'd raise your right hand and be
22
   sworn in by the Court Reporter.
23
             TERRY GRANTHAM, FIRST BANK'S WITNESS, SWORN
24
25
             THE COURT: Please.
```

| | | Grantham - Direct 9 | |
|----|-------|--|---|
| 1 | | DIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 2 | BY MI | R. OLSON: | |
| 3 | Q | Please state your name. | |
| 4 | A | Terry Grantham. | ŀ |
| 5 | Q | And where do you reside? | |
| 6 | A | Lubbock, Texas. | |
| 7 | Q | And how are you employed? | |
| 8 | Α | I'm an attorney with the firm of Craig, Terrill, Hale & | |
| 9 | Grant | tham. | |
| 10 | Q | And how long have you been licensed to practice law in the | |
| 11 | State | e of Texas? | |
| 12 | Α | Since 1982. | ļ |
| 13 | Q | And what's your primary focus of your practice? | |
| 14 | A | Real estate, oil, gas, and banking. | |
| 15 | Q | Are you familiar with the FRE Real Estate, Inc. | |
| 16 | bank | ruptcy? | |
| 17 | A | Yes, sir. | |
| 18 | Q | And when did you first hear that name? | |
| 19 | A | When I first heard that name would be on foreclosure day | |
| 20 | in J | anuary. | |
| 21 | Q | Of this year? | |
| 22 | A | Yes, sir. | |
| 23 | Q | And on that day, was your firm conducting a foreclosure of | |
| 24 | prop | erty involved in this bankruptcy? | |
| 25 | A | Yes, sir. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | ı | TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC. | |

| | Grantham - Direct 10 | |
|----|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Q Who was your client? | |
| 2 | A My client was First Bank & Trust, Lubbock, and Bank of | $\left {}^{\circ} \right $ |
| 3 | Weatherford. | |
| 4 | And what was the shorthand name of the property that you | |
| 5 | were attempting to foreclose that day? | |
| 6 | A Iori Centura. | |
| 7 | Q And had you had dealings with previous attempts to | |
| 8 | foreclose the Iori Centura property? | |
| 9 | A Yes, sir, two separate times. | |
| 10 | Q All right. Do you have with you a set of the exhibits | |
| 11 | that I handed up to the Court? | |
| 12 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 13 | Q If you would, sir, look a Exhibit 14 and tell us if you | |
| 14 | can identify that. | (|
| 15 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 16 | Q And what is it? | |
| 17 | A It's a temporary restraining order issued to stop the | |
| 18 | foreclosure to stop the foreclosure of the property we're | |
| 19 | talking about. | |
| 20 | Q And when was that particular temporary restraining order | |
| 21 | issued? | |
| 22 | A August 28th, 2009, 11:30 A.M. | |
| 23 | MR. OLSON: Your Honor, at this point, we would offer | |
| 24 | Exhibit 14. | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Any objection? | |
| | | |
| | | |

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 • FAX 215-862-6639 • E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

| ١ | Grantham - Direct 11 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor. |
| 2 | THE COURT: It's admitted. |
| 3 | BY MR. OLSON: |
| 4 | Q And if you would, sir, look at Exhibit 16, and tell me if |
| 5 | you can recognize that? |
| 6 | A Yes, sir. |
| 7 | Q And what is that? |
| 8 | A It's a modification renewal and extension agreement by and |
| 9 | between First Bank & Trust Company as lender and Iori Centura, |
| 10 | Inc., Nevada Corporation as borrower. |
| 11 | Q And what's the date of that document? |
| 12 | A September 21st, 2009. |
| 13 | Q Would that then be the way the first attempt to foreclose |
| 14 | the property got resolved? |
| 15 | A Yes, sir. |
| 16 | Q With the entry of that agreement? |
| 17 | A Yes, sir. |
| 18 | MR. OLSON: We would offer Exhibit 16. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 20 | MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: It's admitted. |
| 22 | BY MR. OLSON: |
| 23 | Q If you would, sir, look to Exhibit 19 and tell me if you |
| 24 | can identify that exhibit? |
| 25 | A Yes, sir, I can. |
| | |

```
Grantham - Direct
                                                                  12
        What is that?
1
   Q
        It's a second restraining order followed by -- excuse me -
2
   - issued in the District Court of Dallas County against First
3
   Bank & Trust Company and the Bank of Weatherford.
        And is that the restrain --
5
        Restrains the second attempt at foreclosure.
6
   Α
        Of the Iori Centura --
7
   0
8
        Of the subject property, yes, sir.
   Α
        What was the date of that restraining order?
 9
        I don't have that date there. It looks like it was
10
   Α
   December 3rd.
11
12
   0
        What year?
        But I can't really read it.
13
   Α
        What year?
14
15
   Α
        2010.
        All right. And is that what stopped your second attempt
16
   to foreclose the property?
18
        Yes, sir.
   Α
             MR. OLSON: We would offer Exhibit 19.
19
              THE COURT: Any objection?
20
21
             MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Admitted.
22
   BY MR. OLSON:
23
         Finally, sir, if you would, look at Exhibit 20.
24
        Yes, sir.
25
   Α
```

| | Grantham - Direct 13 |
|-----|---|
| 1 | Q What is that? |
| 2 | A It's a notice of acceleration and demand letter addressed |
| 3 | to Iori Centura, prepared by my firm. |
| 4 | Q Does it bear your signature? |
| 5 | A Yes, sir. |
| 6 | Q What's the date of that letter? |
| 7 | A December 13th, 2010. |
| 8 | Q This is the posting for the January, 2011 sale? |
| . 9 | A Correct, sir. |
| 10 | MR. OLSON: We would offer Exhibit 20. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 12 | MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor. |
| 13 | THE COURT: It's admitted. |
| 14 | BY MR. OLSON: |
| 15 | Q All right. On January 4th, we've entered into a |
| 16 | stipulation as to the chronology of events. I don't know if |
| 17 | you've seen that stipulation or not. |
| 18 | A No, I have not. |
| 19 | Q But the first notice that I want to focus on came in |
| 20 | before noon that day. Are you familiar with that notice? |
| 21 | A I'm familiar with the fact that our firm received a phone |
| 22 | call. |
| 23 | Q Informing you of what? |
| 24 | A That the property that we were attempting to foreclose, |
| 25 | that a bankruptcy had been filed by FRE. |
| | |

Grantham - Direct 14 By FRE. 1 Q 2 Yes, sir. All right. And did -- at that point, in that call, were 3 you given a bankruptcy case number of the filing by FRE? No, sir, I was not at the office then. My secretary 5 contacted me on the road. I do my out-of-County foreclosures 6 in the mornings. I do my in-County in the afternoons. And she called me and said she just received a call informing us of that. And I said, well, get us something that proves it up 9 10 because I'll be back shortly. And when did you get back to the office? 11 Right -- a little -- about ten or 15 til 1. 12 All right. And what was the next contact you had from 13 people trying to notify you of the bankruptcy? 14 I got an e-mail from a Mr. LaJone telling me that here's -15 | - here are the things proving up that you've -- that the 16 bankruptcy has been filed. And that the property is owned by 17 18 FRE. All right. And what was enclosed in that e-mail? 19 In that e-mail was a blank page of the -- it looked to be 20 the front page of the bankruptcy petition, no file mark, no 21 l case number. And a deed that had not -- did not bear a file 22 | 23 mark. All right. The petition was signed and the deed was 24 signed, but neither one of them had a file mark. 25

| | Grantham - Direct 15 |
|----|---|
| 1 | A That's correct. |
| 2 | Q All right. And that came in just before 1 o'clock? |
| 3 | A Correct. |
| 4 | Q All right. What was the next thing that happened in |
| 5 | chronological sequence that bears on this attempted |
| 6 | foreclosure? |
| 7 | A Well, I called the gentleman who sent me the e-mail and |
| 8 | said I need something else. I need some something that |
| 9 | proves to me that this property has been transferred, and that |
| 10 | this bankruptcy case has been filed. |
| 11 | Q And did he agree to get that for you? |
| 12 | A Yeah. |
| 13 | Q In the meantime, did you do some checking on your own? |
| 14 | A Yes, I |
| 15 | Q What did you do? |
| 16 | A I was rather upset because I was unaware of any transfer |
| 17 | of the property. So, I called the title company in Dallas that |
| 18 | had given me the title evidence for my foreclosure. And I got |
| 19 | the guy on the phone and said, you know, I'm sitting here |
| 20 | looking at your work, and you're showing me that this is Iori |
| 21 | Centura, and I've been told that there's been a deed filed that |
| 22 | the property has changed hands. |
| 23 | He was very apologetic, said he would call me back. |
| 24 | And in five minutes or so, literally, he calls me back and |
| 25 | said, I don't know what you're looking for, but I don't find |
| | |

Grantham - Direct 16 anything filed. 1 All right. And in the meantime, was the sale conducted? 2 3 Α Yes, sir. Who actually conducted the sale on the ground here in Dallas? 5 David Garvin (phonetic). And had you contacted Garvin and told him to stop the 7 sale? 8 9 Α No, sir. And why had you not done that? 10 Q I hadn't been able to confirm anything. 11 Α All right. Now, after the sale was conducted, did you 12 notify Mr. LaJone that the sale had been conducted? 13 Yes, I did. 14 And subsequent to that, did you get an e-mail that gave 15 you the proof of the filing of the bankruptcy and the case 16 number? 17 At some point, yes. That afternoon, I did. 18 And at a later point that afternoon, did you also get 19 20 proof of the filing of the deed? Α Yes, sir. 21 And when was the deed recorded? 22 The deed was recorded around 2:45 the day of the -- of the 23 foreclosure sale. 24 So, the deed was recorded after the sale? 25

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.

PHONE 215-862-1115 • FAX 215-862-6639 • E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

| | Grantham - Direct/Cross 17 |
|----|--|
| 1 | A Yes, sir. |
| 2 | And after your last conversation with Mr. LaJone? |
| 3 | A It appeared that way, yes, sir. |
| 4 | All right. Once you learned that the bankruptcy had been |
| 5 | filed, and that the deed had been recorded, did you prepare |
| 6 | your trustee's deed and file it, and deliver it? |
| 7 | A No, sir. |
| 8 | To this day, you've still not prepared your trustee's |
| 9 | deed? |
| 10 | A No, sir. I told Mr. LaJone I would not, and I have not. |
| 11 | MR. OLSON: I'll pass the witness. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Cross examination. |
| 13 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. GAITHER: |
| 15 | Q Good morning, Mr. Grantham. My name is John Gaither. I |
| 16 | represent the debtor in this case. |
| 17 | A Mr. Gaither. |
| 18 | Q What time on January 4th was the foreclosure scheduled to |
| 19 | begin, do you recall? |
| 20 | A All my out of this particular one? Or are you talking |
| 21 | about my personal ones? |
| 22 | Q The foreclosure of the property we're talking about today. |
| 23 | A 1 o'clock. |
| 24 | Q 1 P.M. on January 4th? |
| 25 | A Yes, sir. |
| | |

```
18
                            Grantham - Cross
        I will hand you what's been marked as the Movant's Exhibit
1
2
   21.
3
   Α
        Okay.
             MR. GAITHER: It's attached to Mr. Olson's motion as
4
   Exhibit 21.
5
6
             THE COURT: All right.
7
             MR. GAITHER: I would move for the admission of
   Exhibit 21.
             MR. OLSON: No objection.
9
             THE COURT: 21 is admitted.
10
   BY MR. GAITHER:
11
        What I've handed you -- what I've handed you is an e-mail.
12
        Yes, sir.
13
        From Mr. Jay LaJone.
14
        Yes, sir.
15
   Α
        Did you receive this e-mail?
16
        I sure did.
17
   Α
        At about what time did you receive this e-mail?
18
        I would assume I got it around 12:55.
19
  A
        Okay. And what is attached to this e-mail?
20
21
        A general warranty deed.
                                (Pause)
22
        Okay. Attached is a general warranty deed for Iori
23
   Centura to Fenton Real Estate, Inc., executed by Steven
24
   Shelley, with no file mark. And a B-1 Official Form 1, United
```

| | Grantham - Cross 19 |
|----|---|
| 1 | States Bankruptcy Court, appears to be a front page of a |
| 2 | voluntary petition filed by FRE Real Estate, Inc., again with |
| 3 | no file mark. Signed by Mr. John P. Lewis, Junior. |
| 4 | Q So, at 12:55 P.M., prior to the time the foreclosure was |
| 5 | scheduled to begin, you received an e-mail that contained the |
| 6 | deed evidencing a conveyance of the property for which you were |
| 7 | scheduled to foreclose, Iori Centura's property, conveying that |
| 8 | to an entity named FRE and a petition filed a petition |
| 9 | signed by FRE that would suggest that bankruptcy had been |
| 10 | filed, is that true? |
| 11 | A I would I would say that your words suggest as true. |
| 12 | It would suggest that, yes, sir. |
| 13 | Q Did you check the Pacer records to confirm whether or not |
| 14 | a bankruptcy had actually been filed? |
| 15 | A Not until much later, no, sir. |
| 16 | Q You didn't check the Pacer records prior to the time you |
| 17 | foreclosed? |
| 18 | A No, sir. |
| 19 | Q Did you ask anyone to confirm that at your office? |
| 20 | A No, sir. I asked Mr. LaJone to do that. |
| 21 | Q When did you ask him to do that? |
| 22 | A When I talked to him the very first time. I said I need |
| 23 | something that shows this property's been transferred and that |
| 24 | bankruptcy has been filed. |
| 25 | Q But after that time, he e-mailed you these documents, is |
| | |
| | 1 |

HC 00979

20 Grantham - Cross that correct? After the time you asked him to send these 1 documents. 2 This is what he sent me were unfiled --3 Right. 4 -- were unfiled documents. 5 Is it your opinion or did you believe at the 6 Thank you. time that this unrecorded warranty deed would not have been 7 effective to convey this property to another entity, and that if that entity had filed bankruptcy -- if this deed purported to transfer the property for which you were scheduled to 10 foreclosure to FRE, and FRE subsequently filed bankruptcy, do 11 12 you believe that that property would have been property of FRE's estate? 13 I'm not sure I follow your question, sir. I'm sorry. 14 Do you believe that the automatic stay -- had there 15 actually been a bankruptcy filed -- and I understand that you 16 did not know at the time because you had not checked -- do you 17 believe that the bankruptcy would have operated to prevent you 18 from foreclosing on this property? 19 No, sir. 20 Α You don't believe that, had the property been conveyed to 21 FRE, and FRE filed bankruptcy, the automatic stay would not 22 have prevented you from foreclosing? 23 Well, what I believe is that if the property had been 24 properly conveyed to any entity, and that entity files

| | Grantham - Cross 21 |
|----|--|
| 1 | bankruptcy, the automatic stay comes into play. |
| 2 | But I I've been doing this a very long time, and I |
| 3 | always request proof of conveyance, proof of filing of a |
| 4 | bankruptcy, proof of the filing of a temporary restraining |
| 5 | order. Because in my experience, many times I've been given |
| 6 | blank documents, and I've pulled sales down, and then I've had |
| 7 | to explain to my clients later why they get to hold this asset |
| 8 | for another month when nothing occurred. |
| 9 | Q Were you given a blank document this time? |
| 10 | A Well, as far as I'm concerned, yes, sir. There's no file |
| 11 | marks on these. |
| 12 | Q When you're given documents in other cases, do you usually |
| 13 | do any independent checking? Do you check if you're told |
| 14 | that there's a bankruptcy, do you ever check yourself? |
| 15 | A Generally |
| 16 | Q Or do you rely on the creditor or the debtor? |
| 17 | A Sir, this is the only time I've ever received a blank one. |
| 18 | Q You just testified that you routinely receive blank |
| 19 | documents. |
| 20 | A No, I receive blank deeds before showing the conveyances |
| 21 | or document of a property that did not occur. I've gotten |
| 22 | blank judge's orders where I was assured that had been signed |
| 23 | and entered, and it hadn't been. |
| 24 | But the people that I've dealt with in the years that |
| 25 | I've done foreclosures, when they file bankruptcy, they shoot |
| | |

Grantham - Cross 22 us a copy of the bankruptcy where it's been filed and a case number. We call our clients, or if it's my client, then I'll 2 pull it down. 3 Whose decision was it to proceed to foreclosure after you 4 received this e-mail? Well, I guess you would say it was my decision because I 6 did not do anything other than attempt to verify whether or not the property had been conveyed. And when I couldn't verify that the property had been conveyed, I didn't do anything. I guess you could say I allowed things to go forward. 10 Are you aware that a delivery of an executed deed -- as a 11 real estate attorney --12 Yes, sir. 13 -- are you aware that delivery of an executed deed 14 constitutes the sale and conveyance of a property, rather than 15 the recording of that executed deed? 16 Well, I think -- I think that that question's rife with 17 lots of different issues. 18 It's a yes or no question. 19 Delivery -- delivery of a deed may or may not convey 20 21 property. Delivery of a signed deed does not convey property? 22 May not. Because delivery has to be voluntary, it has to 23 be willful. It has to be from the proper party also, generally 24 speaking. You have to look at whether or not the consideration

| | Grantham - Cross 23 | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | was actually passed, received, and approved. There's a lot of | |
| 2 | other things that happen in conveyance of real property other | |
| 3 | than just delivery. | |
| 4 | Q But you didn't think it necessary to check into any of | |
| 5 | those things prior to | |
| 6 | A Oh, I did check. | |
| 7 | Q conducting the foreclosure. | |
| 8 | A I called. | |
| 9 | Q Within the few minutes, you checked into all those things | |
| 10 | within the few minutes. | |
| 11 | A No, no, no. | |
| 12 | Q Between the time that you received this e-mail and the | |
| 13 | time you | |
| 14 | A No, sir. I believe Mr | |
| 15 | Q conducted the foreclosure sale? | |
| 16 | A I believe Mr. LaJone had given me a copy of the only | |
| 17 | copy of the deed he had. So, I called the title company to get | |
| 18 | me a copy. They said there wasn't one. | |
| 19 | Q They didn't have a copy because the deed had not been | |
| 20 | recorded, is that true? | |
| 21 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 22 | Q I think that goes to my original question. Could the deed | |
| 23 | have been effective to convey the property to FRE without it | |
| 24 | having been recorded? | |
| 25 | A As between those two parties, yes, sir. But not as | |
| | | |
| | | |

24 Grantham - Cross between other parties. 1 And you've testified that if it was effective between 2 those two parties, that the grantee -- the grantee's subsequent bankruptcy would have operated to prevent this foreclosure sale, is that true? I believe what I testified is if it had been properly 6 recorded, that it would. I believe that's what I said. 7 Well, just to clarify. Had this deed been effective to 8 convey the property to FRE, and had FRE filed bankruptcy prior 9 to the time you foreclosed, or were even scheduled to 10 foreclosure, would that bankruptcy have operated to prevent you 11 from foreclosing on the property? 12 If it had gone in the order you said, I guess the answer 13 would be yes. 14 MR. GAITHER: Nothing further, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Redirect? 16 MR. OLSON: No redirect. And I have no further 17 18 evidence to present. THE COURT: All right. Let me excuse the witness. 19 Thank you very much. You may step down. 20 MR. OLSON: I have no further evidence. I'm prepared 21 to argue whenever Mr. Gaither's done. 22 MR. GAITHER: Your Honor, we do have one final 23 Mr. Jay LaJone. 24 witness. THE COURT: Mr. LaJone, if you'd come forward, 25

| | | LaJone - Direct 25 |
|-----|-------|---|
| 1 | pleas | se. Take the witness chair. Raise your right hand, and be |
| 2 | swori | n in. |
| 3 | | JAY LaJONE, DEBTOR'S WITNESS, SWORN |
| 4 | | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 5 | BY MI | R. GAITHER: |
| 6 | Q | Good morning, Mr. LaJone. |
| 7 | A | Good morning. |
| . 8 | Q | Please state your full name. |
| 9 | A | Jay Allen LaJone. |
| 10 | Q | What was your role with FRE prior to this bankruptcy? |
| 11 | A | Actually I was counsel for the transferor. |
| 12 | Q | Okay. |
| 13 | Α | Iori Centura, Inc. |
| 14 | Q | Okay. And in that capacity, did you work with FRE at all? |
| 15 | A | Yes. |
| 16 | Q | And you worked with them to convey the properties? |
| 17 | A | Yes. |
| 18 | Q | Was the what we've been referring to today as the Iori |
| 19 | Cent | ura property included in the properties that you worked |
| 20 | with | to convey to FRE? |
| 21 | A | Yes. |
| 22 | Q | On January 4th, did you speak with Mr. Grantham? |
| 23 | А | Yes. |
| 24 | Q | Did you speak with him by telephone? |
| 25 | A | Yes. |
| | İ | |
| | | |

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.

PHONE 215-862-1115 • FAX 215-862-6639 • E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

LaJone - Direct 26 What time was that, do you remember 1 I spoke -- well, I first spoke with Mr. Grantham's 2 secretary sometime shortly before noon. And I subsequently talked with Mr. Grantham, I believe, sometime between 12:30 and 1. 5 6 0 Okay. And then I spoke with him again later that afternoon. 7 Α Right. But prior to 1 P.M., you spoke with him. 8 spoke with his secretary once, and you spoke with him once prior to 1 P.M. 10 Yes. 11 What did you tell him prior to 1 P.M., and what did you 12 13 tell his secretary? I told -- I told both Mr. Grantham and his secretary that $\$ 14 the property had been conveyed to FRE. And that FRE had filed 15 for bankruptcy that morning. 16 Did you e-mail him prior to 1 P.M.? 17 Yes. Α 18 I will hand you what's been marked as Movant's 21 and 19 admitted. Is this the copy of the e-mail you sent Mr. Grantham 20 that morning? 21 Yes, it is. 22 Did you speak with other creditors on the morning of 23 January 4th? 24 I did. 25 l Α

| | LaJone - Direct 27 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q Did you speak with the Armed Forces Bank? |
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q Was the was Armed Forces Bank's collateral part of the |
| 4 | property that you worked with FRE to convey that |
| 5 | A Yes, it was. |
| 6 | Q prior to the bankruptcy? |
| 7 | A It was the largest portion of the property conveyed. |
| 8 | Q Had Armed Forces noticed that property foreclosure on |
| 9 | January 4? |
| 10 | A Yes, it had. |
| 11 | Q When you spoke with Armed Forces Bank that morning, what |
| 12 | did you tell them? |
| 13 | A I told the attorney who was representing Armed Forces Bank |
| 14 | exactly what I told Mr. Grantham. That is that the property |
| 15 | had been conveyed from the owners of that particular property |
| 16 | to FRE, and that FRE had filed a voluntary petition in |
| 17 | bankruptcy that morning. |
| 18 | Q And what did they do? |
| 19 | A They asked the attorney asked me to send her a copy of |
| 20 | the deeds because there were several tracts, and a copy of the |
| 21 | petition, which I did. |
| 22 | Q Thereafter, what did Armed Forces Bank do? |
| 23 | A She indicated to me that based upon that information, she |
| 24 | would pass the foreclosure. |
| 25 | Q And did they tell you why? |
| | |

```
28
                          LaJone - Direct/Cross
        Because she felt that the automatic stay prevented her
1
   Α
2
   from going forward.
             MR. GAITHER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
3
             MR. OLSON:
                         Cross?
 4
5
             THE COURT:
                          Please.
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
6
   BY MR. OLSON:
        Mr. LaJone, I think you and I just met today, but we've
8
   spoken before, correct?
 9
10
        Yes.
        At the time you had the conversation with the attorney
11
   representing Armed Forces Bank, had the deeds involving their
   collateral actually been recorded?
13
        No.
14
   Α
        Did you prepare all of the deeds for all of the transfers
15
   to FRE?
16
         Either I or other attorneys in my office, yes.
17
         So your firm --
18
19
   Α
        Yes.
         -- prepared all of the deeds.
20
         That is correct.
21
   Α
         When were they prepared?
22
         They were prepared -- the -- most of them were prepared
23 | A
   the Wednesday or Thursday prior to the Tuesday of foreclosure.
24
         All right. So, the Tuesday of foreclosure was January
25
```

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 ● FAX 215-862-6639 ● E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

| | LaJone - Cross 29 | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | 4th. | | |
| 2 | A Yes. | | |
| 3 | Q So, January 3rd would have been a Monday. The 2nd, a | | |
| 4 | Sunday. The 1st a Saturday. Friday would have been December | | |
| 5 | 31st. So, you're saying they would have been prepared the 30th | | |
| 6 | or the 29th? | | |
| 7 | A Yes, or slightly earlier. But right about that time. | | |
| 8 | Q When were they signed? | | |
| 9. | A They were signed most of them were signed Thursday | | |
| 10 | evening. | | |
| 11 | Q So, on December the now I've lost track. 29th? | | |
| 12 | A 29th. | | |
| 13 | Q The 30th? | | |
| 14 | A I'm sorry, I don't have a calendar. | | |
| 15 | Q I don't either. But the 29th or 30th. | | |
| 16 | A Yes. | | |
| 17 | Q Whatever that Thursday night was. | | |
| 18 | A Yes. | | |
| 19 | Q All right. You say most of them. | | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Thursday was the 30th. | | |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: The 30th, okay. | | |
| 22 | MR. OLSON: Thank you, Your Honor. | | |
| 23 | BY MR. OLSON: | | |
| 24 | Q So, others were signed at a different time? | | |
| 25 | A If they they may have been signed earlier in the | | |
| , | | | |
| | | | |

30 LaJone - Cross day. Several of them were signed earlier in the day on 1 2 Thursday. All right. Now, were they all delivered to somebody at 3 that point? 5 Absolutely. Well, when you say "absolutely," who signed all these 6 deeds? 7 Mr. Steven Shelley. Α And Mr. Shelley then, as transferor, did what with the 9 deeds that he had executed? 10 The deeds -- well, my office had them, and we delivered 11 the original to the representative of FRE to whom we had been 12 instructed. 13 Who is that? 14 Mr. Dave Morgan. 15 So, all the deeds were delivered to Mr. Morgan when? 16 I believe they were delivered on -- well, they weren't 17 delivered Thursday night. They would have been delivered Friday. 19 December 31st? 20 Yes. And I apologize. Not the originals, but -- because 21 the originals on -- no, actually they were -- they were -- the 22 originals were delivered to Mr. Morgan on the 31st. 23 All right. So, FRE had the deeds on the 31st, Mr. Morgan. 24 25 A Yes.

| [| LaJone - Cross 31 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | Q But on January 4th, the deeds had still not been filed. |
| 2 | A Correct. |
| 3 | Q Why? |
| 4 | A The Recorder's Office closed. This was the New Year's |
| - 5 | holiday. The Recorder's Office title companies wouldn't |
| 6 | even take the deeds for recording by the afternoon Thursday. |
| 7 | Friday, the title companies did not take deeds. Monday, the |
| 8 | title companies did not take deeds. Which meant Tuesday was |
| 9 | the first day after their execution and delivery that we were |
| 10 | able to record them. |
| 11 | Q Well, your bankruptcy petition was filed at 6:43 in the |
| 12 | morning |
| 13 | A Yes, sir. |
| 14 | Q according to that exhibit. Why weren't the deeds |
| 15 | recorded at 9:01 before the 10 o'clock sales began? |
| 16 | A The deeds were submitted for recording at about the same |
| 17 | time. |
| 18 | Q How do you know that? |
| 19 | A Because my office did it. |
| 20 | Q But I thought you were the transferor? |
| 21 | A We are. We were. |
| 22 | Q And I thought you had delivered the deed on the week |
| 23 | before. |
| 24 | A That's right. We delivered it to Mr. Morgan, whose office |
| 25 | is adjacent to ours. As an accommodation, we got them back and |
| | |
| | |

```
32
   recorded them.
1
             MR. OLSON: I'll pass the witness.
2
             MR. GAITHER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
3
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. LaJone. You may step
 4
5
   down.
 6
             MR. LaJONE:
                          Thank you.
                         All right. Any further evidence from the
             THE COURT:
   parties?
8
 9
             MR. OLSON: Nothing.
                           No, Your Honor.
10
             MR. GAITHER:
                         Very well. I'll entertain closing
11
             THE COURT:
12
   arguments, please.
                                                  In today's high
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             MR. OLSON:
   volume foreclosure practice, it's not at all unusual for the
14
   people conducting a sale to be told that somebody has obtained
15
   a TRO, or has transferred a property, or has filed a bankruptcy
16
   when, in fact, they haven't.
17
             Now, the first thing we know is that in a good faith
18
   filing, it truly doesn't matter. The fact is that the sale is
   stayed, whether they know it or not. And in this case, when
20
   the deed was recorded later that afternoon, I'm satisfied --
21
             THE COURT: Well, but the deed recording is
22
   irrelevant.
23
             MR. OLSON: What I was going to say, Your Honor, is --
24
25
             THE COURT: The deed, as between the parties to it,
```

```
33
   was effective when it was signed. The recording may be helpful
1
   vis-a-vis third parties, but we aren't worried about third
   parties in this context.
3
             MR. OLSON: No. As I was going to say, Your Honor, I
 4
 5
   think that when it was filed that afternoon, it's 541 property
   of the estate by that point, if not before. It just truly
   doesn't matter in a good faith filing.
             THE COURT: Well, it was property of the estate when
 8
 9
   it was signed.
10
             MR. OLSON:
                         Well --
11
             THE COURT:
                         It was effective --
             MR. OLSON:
                         And -- and --
12
                         -- between the parties to the conveyance.
             THE COURT:
13
             MR. OLSON: And I'm truly not quarreling with you on
14
          I think that's a bit of a red herring because, again, if
15
   this had been a good faith filing, we wouldn't be here.
16
             THE COURT: Well, but Mr. Olson, I've got to tell
17
   you, the secured parties have persuaded me to hold the debtor's
   feet to the fire.
19
20
                         Yes, ma'am.
             MR. OLSON:
                           That this was not a good faith filing.
21
             THE COURT:
                          That's right.
22
             MR. OLSON:
                          There was too much shenanigans going on
             THE COURT:
23
   prior to the filing to not dismiss this case.
24
              But conversely, I am very troubled by the fact that
25
```

34 notice was given of a transfer of the property and of a 1 bankruptcy filing, and nevertheless, the creditor here went 2 forward in the face of that notice and wants me to look the 3 other way. It feels like what was good for the goose has to be 5 good for the gander. Yes, I've concluded that there were too many things 6 that happened prior to this filing for this to be a good faith bankruptcy filing. 8 But conversely, your client knew that there had been a bankruptcy filing. And your client knew that, in fact, the 10 property had been transferred, and he went ahead and 11 foreclosed. And that precedent is equally inappropriate in a 12 bankruptcy context. The stay has to be honored. 13 MR. OLSON: Well --14 THE COURT: Doesn't it? 15 MR. OLSON: I'm not quarreling with you about that 16 either. 17 And, again -- when all this took place in that very 18 compressed time frame between 11:55 and 1:55, I don't think 19 anybody was acting in a sinister or black-hearted way. 20 THE COURT: I don't either. 21 MR. OLSON: And, again, what happens so frequently is 22 you don't prepare the deed, you don't do anything, you file 23 your motion to lift stay, and you go on down the road. 24 that's what would have happened here, but for all the claim or 25

as the other creditors began to perceive the big picture 2 Now, I think that when you look at 362(d), if you find the bad faith filing, it says you shall give certain 3 It's your discretion as to what you do. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. MR. OLSON: And one of the forms for relief that we 6 had asked for was dismissal, and we're getting that. 7 The question is in the Court's discretion, does the 8 Court feel that the bigger picture is that maybe that's not 9 enough, maybe an annulment also is just a fact. That's what 10 we're here talking about. 11 THE COURT: I understand. 12 MR. OLSON: The thing that concerns me is if you find 13 that there was a bad faith filing, shouldn't the Court put the 14 creditors back where they would be had there been no filing? 15 Had there been no filing, then our sale would stand up. 16 That is something that is troubling to the Court, and 17 I understand that. And I don't know how the Court comes out on 18 that discretion wise. But two things: 19 Again, as Ronald Reagan used to say, "Trust but 20 verify." And as a real estate lawyer, Mr. Grantham's focus was 21 on "where's the deed?" 22 Now, they did later find that both were as 23 represented by Mr. LaJone. But if you take his word for it, 24 and you pull the sale, the question is would they have ever 25

```
36
  recorded the deed. That's the problem we've got. We've had
  prior TROs with these people, a long history, a long attempt to
   foreclose.
3
             THE COURT:
                         But why --
5
             MR. OLSON:
                        And if you --
                         Why do you care if they record it or
6
             THE COURT:
   didn't record the deed?
                        Well, because --
             MR. OLSON:
8
             THE COURT: What skin off your nose is that?
9
             MR. OLSON: Because if -- and now I've forgotten the
10
   man's name. Mr. Morgan says, "Well, you know, we were in such
11
   a rush, we were moving so many properties around, we lost track
12
   of where we were at, that one Iori Centura, that's not part of
13
   the bankruptcy, we didn't record that deed." There's real
14
   credibility issues that we're concerned about --
             THE COURT: Well, but that --
16
             MR. OLSON: -- in that time frame on January 4.
17
             THE COURT: Well, but that would not have -- I mean I
18
   would have -- you would have then come to me to have me decide
19
   whether or not, given the fact that a deed had been signed,
20
   whether it was or wasn't property of the estate. There are
21
   simple ways to fix uncertainty.
22
                         There are. But your posting has this
23
             MR. OLSON:
   language in it that the sale is going to be conducted between
24
   these hours.
```

```
37
             THE COURT: Of course.
1
             MR. OLSON: If you pull the sale and tell the client
2
   you pulled the sale at a time when you couldn't verify, and
 3
   then it turns out that it's not factually true, how do you
   explain to your client, "Well, we've got to come back next
 5
 6
   month, they were just kidding."
             THE COURT: Well -- I mean, Mr. Olson, I hear you.
 7
   But conversely, that's why the stay is automatic.
 8
 9
             MR. OLSON:
                         That's right. It's automatic whether we
10
   have notice or not.
                         Congress said debtors get it, period.
11
             THE COURT:
                         Whether they -- whether the creditor
12
             MR. OLSON:
13
   knows or not.
             THE COURT: And so my struggle is that after being
14
   told it had happened, you went ahead and foreclosed.
15
             MR. OLSON: Well --
16
                         I mean, again, that's -- I appreciate
17
             THE COURT:
   that this is the third foreclosure, two TROs. But, quite
18
   frankly, the TROs were real.
19
20
             MR. OLSON:
                         They were.
             THE COURT: And you had no reason to think this
21
   wasn't real. I mean, quite frankly, the fact that they had
22
   pulled this stuff on you twice before should have caused you to
23
   think they probably had done it again, here we go again.
24
25
             MR. OLSON: Well --
```

THE COURT: But, instead, you all decided to exercise 1 self-help. And just go ahead and hope for the best, and that's the problem. Because there are literally thousands of 3 bankruptcy filings on foreclosure Tuesday. MR. OLSON: No question about it. 5 THE COURT: And if everybody says, "Well, they could 6 just be kidding when they told me they filed bankruptcy, so I'm going to go ahead," you know, that's equally as poor policy as far as this Court is concerned as the transfer -- as the new debtor syndrome is. 10 I understand. MR. OLSON: No, I understand. 11 But, again, my point is I'm not so sure it's black-12 13 hearted. It's what do you do in a compressed time frame when 14 you're trying to verify, and you don't --15 THE COURT: You don't foreclose --16 MR. OLSON: Well --17 THE COURT: -- is my answer to that is what you do. 18 MR. OLSON: But --19 THE COURT: When you've got a signed petition, and 20 you've got a signed deed that say that this property has been 21 transferred to an entity, and that entity is in bankruptcy, you 22 23 stop. MR. OLSON: Well --24 THE COURT: And I think that's what the law requires. 25

40 effect. 1 THE COURT: Right. But you wouldn't have been found 2 to have violated the stay. And, quite frankly, if they hadn't 3 given you notice, given what we've seen this morning, I'm guessing that the debtor would have conceded that your foreclosure was valid. They did with three other secured creditors to whom they gave no notice, and the foreclosure sale occurred. So, the problem here is, and the principle that the 9 debtor is standing upon, is "you got notice, and you went ahead." And that's incorrect. 11 MR. OLSON: I understand. And I'm not quarreling 12 with the Court. I think the question is what relief are we 13 entitled to. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. OLSON: We're entitled to the dismissal, no 16 question about it. 17 THE COURT: And you're getting that. 18 MR. OLSON: And the only question is whether we were 19 also entitled to the annulment. And I don't think anybody can quarrel with the Court how the Court comes on the exercise of 21 its discretion. 22 But my concern is in an instance where there is a bad 23 faith filing, looking at two wrongs, if you will, shouldn't the 24 focus be to put creditors where they would have been had there

```
41
   not been a filing?
2
             THE COURT: I hear you.
             MR. OLSON: And it doesn't set a bad precedent in
3
   that creditors do not get a leg up in good faith cases. And --
5
             THE COURT: Except that reads a requirement into the
   Code that's not there.
6
7
             MR. OLSON: No, no --
8
             THE COURT: Congress has put a requirement in the
   consumer context of if you file more than once within a year,
   there's a presumption of bad faith. But even in that
   circumstance, the stay goes into effect.
11
             MR. OLSON: No question. And --
12
             THE COURT: And it only -- but it only lasts for 30
13
   days. So, essentially what you want me to do conditions
14
   receipt of the stay upon a good faith filing. And --
15
16
             MR. OLSON: Well --
             THE COURT: -- Congress hasn't ever said that.
17
             MR. OLSON: No, the law doesn't require that.
18
   law doesn't require that, and I understand that.
19
             What I'm saying is in trying to figure out how you
20
21
   exercise your discretion --
             THE COURT: Congress hasn't ever said that.
22
             MR. OLSON: No, the law doesn't require that.
23
   law doesn't require that. And I understand that.
24
             What I'm saying is in trying to figure out how you
25
```

```
42
   exercise your discretion --
1
             THE COURT: No, no --
2
             MR. OLSON: -- one of the factors, I think, to
3
   consider is "do I put these creditors where they would have
4
   been, had there not been a filing?"
             THE COURT: No, I under --
 6
 7
             MR. OLSON:
                        Because --
                        I understand your argument.
             THE COURT:
 8
             MR. OLSON: And if -- that's all I'm saying.
 9
10
   doesn't change the law.
             THE COURT: But all I'm saying is the implication of
11
   your argument does change the law.
12
             MR. OLSON: Well, I suspect --
13
             THE COURT: Because you can't get around the fact
14
   that you exercised self-help. You knew you should stop, and
15
   you didn't. And by "you," I mean your client.
16
             MR. OLSON: No, I understand. I understand.
17
             THE COURT: Obviously not you personally.
18
             MR. OLSON: And I think it's a -- it is -- Senator
19
   Baker wanted to know there in Watergate, "What did he know, and
20
   when did he know it?"
21
             But the man on the ground, Garvin, actually conducted
22
   the sale without knowing any of this was going on. He was not
23
   notified by --
24
             THE COURT: Understood.
25
```

```
MR. OLSON: -- Mr. Grantham because Grantham didn't
1
   want to call it off yet because he hadn't been able to verify
2
 3
   it yet.
             THE COURT: Right.
 4
             MR. OLSON: I don't think that the Court's rationale
 5
   for the exercise of its discretion has to be precedent for
 6
 7
   anything. I think it goes --
 8
             THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Olson --
             MR. OLSON: -- any time -- any time you're exercising
 9
10
   your discretion.
             THE COURT: Sit in this chair. The next time, you
11
   know -- it's amazing what you lawyers tell each other, and how
12
   many times this judge and my colleagues here, "Well, you did it
13
   in such and such a case." And so there really is a big old
14
   secret. It seems that lawyers figure out what's happened in
15
   other cases, and that's the concern I've got is --
16
             MR. OLSON: I understand. And I'm saying that in
17
   cases where you've got debtor conduct as egregious as it was in
   this case, does it override that? I don't know. One other
19
   thing to look at.
20
             The testimony this morning, contrary to what we heard
21
   in the motion to dismiss, this deed was not executed and
22
   delivered on December 23rd, although that's what the notary
23
24
   said.
             THE COURT: It says it's dated as of.
25
```

```
44
             MR. OLSON: But the notary says actually signed
1
2
   December 23rd.
3
             THE COURT: I don't --
             MR. OLSON: It was actually a week later according to
4
  the testimony this morning. And look at all the events that
   hinged on December 22nd, 23rd in that testimony in the prior
6
   weeks. I think a legitimate question is if we hadn't conducted
   our sale, would they have recorded our deed.
             THE COURT: But, again, I -- no offense, who cares?
9
                         Oh, I think the position would be that's
10
             MR. OLSON:
   Iori Centura's property, they're not in bankruptcy --
11
             THE COURT: Oh, I don't --
12
             MR. OLSON: -- we get another month --
13
             THE COURT: After you had received the deed, they
14
   were going to try and come in and tell me that that deed was
15
16 l
   ineffective?
             MR. OLSON: I -- I don't know what they would have
17
   done, that's not the facts we've got.
             THE COURT: Well, but you're just --
19
             MR. OLSON: But my argument is --
20
             THE COURT: You're just -- you're speculating that
21
   they never would have.
22
             MR. OLSON: I am. I am. Their conduct is so
23
24 manipulative. You've got a guy walking around with a deed that
25 | he may use, may not use. We just feel --
```

45 THE COURT: There's no evidence that they may or may 1 not use. I mean --MR. OLSON: Well --3 THE COURT: -- help me with that. Because you're 4 5 just -- you're just saying it. MR. OLSON: I have never seen a case where you file 6 the bankruptcy, then record the deed. I've seen lots of cases 8 where they record the deed, then file the bankruptcy. And there was so much going on here, I still don't 9 think we have a full handle on what was really going on. 11 know. And I'm not saying that Mr. Grantham had the benefit 12 of that knowledge. All I'm saying is when you're trying to 13 verify, and you're requesting documents, and you let the sale 14 go through, and then you opt to stop there, and say, "Well, I 15 guess it was just all a nullity," and he's prepared to live 16 with that until all these other motions start getting filed, 17 and all the creditors begin to see the big picture and the big 18 plot. I don't think there's anything sinister in going forward 19 until you get verification, and then stop wherever you are at the time you actually know. 21 22 Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Olson. 23 MR. GAITHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 In light of your comments, I will keep this fairly 25

case law is clear that oral notice of bankruptcy would be

sufficient to require them to observe the automatic stay, or at least put them on inquiry notice so that they might undertake an independent inquiry to confirm whether or not there actually had been a bankruptcy.

Given all the evidence, given the Court's concern about dangerous precedent, we would request the Court deny Mr. Olson's motion.

Thank you.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

. 12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Olson, I understand your argument. But I think the broader principle here is that the automatic stay has to be honored.

It's one thing to proceed without knowledge of the bankruptcy filing, or that what you're foreclosing on has been transferred to a third entity, and that entity has filed for bankruptcy. From the Court's perspective, it's quite a different thing to proceed with knowledge simply because you haven't yet been able to verify.

From the Court's perspective, all the verification that was needed was a bankruptcy petition and the deed; and you got that in advance. I appreciate the testimony that has been offered with respect to why the attorney made the decision that he made to proceed. But, quite frankly, that was an erroneous decision.

Once a petition had been provided, and once a deed had been provided that were executed, then the automatic stay

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 • FAX 215-862-6639 • E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

form of order and redline the disputed language, which we did.

25

One concern here with the order, Your Honor -- and I 1 think it's taken care of in this form of order. There was a 2 form of order circulating that would dismiss this case with 3 prejudice, and that language has been removed from the order. Because as I indicated in my closing remarks when the -- before the Court ruled, there is a possibility that some of these 6 properties may end up the subject of another bankruptcy, not a 7 conglomerate bankruptcy, as has been filed here, but separate bankruptcies. We actually considered the fact -- Fenton Centre 9 was actually part of this entity before --10

THE COURT: Correct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUNCHER: -- this case was filed, before the other properties were put into this debtor. I don't know if there's a procedural way to permit this case to somehow go forward with only the property and debt that the entity started with.

Where we have come down on that issue, Your Honor -- and as the form of order is drafted now --

THE COURT: I'm not sure I've seen the current.

Because both of the ones that I have say it's dismissed with prejudice.

MR. BUNCHER: Okay. Let me hand up the one that I emailed over the weekend. And if you'll look on Page 2, the first paragraph of the order says, "Ordered the bankruptcy case shall be dismissed."

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 ● FAX 215-862-6639 ● E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

50 THE COURT: Shall be dismissed, right. 1 MR. BUNCHER: So, the "with prejudice" is gone. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. MR. BUNCHER: Your Honor, rather than try to monkey 4 with some procedural mechanism that I'm not sure how the Court 5 would actually fashion in terms of saying, for example, "Give the debtor ten days to transfer the stuff that didn't start with FRE out of this estate, and leave the case pending as FRE in this Court with just the property and debt that this debtor started with," I don't know if that's possible. It would be a 10 little strange, frankly, because normally the only way to get 11 property out of the estate would be --12 THE COURT: Yes, I know. 13 So, where I've come MR. BUNCHER: -- by a motion. 14 down on that, I just want the Court to know so -- and the 15 l parties to be on notice that there may be another filing of 16 this exact entity once the case is dismissed and the properties 17 are reconstituted the way they were to start with. And my 18 understanding of the Court's ruling is the Court is not 20 suggesting that cannot happen. THE COURT: I'm not suggesting it can or cannot. 21 MR. BUNCHER: Okay. So, aside from that issue, Your 22 Honor, if you'd look at the bottom of Page 2, we have some 23 | language that Mr. Sakonchick requested by a letter that he sent 24 l to the Court. Mr. Sakonchick indicated he had a prepaid trip, 25

```
51
   he's not going to be here. But he wanted this language about
 2
   his 546(b) notice.
             While the language is not terribly controversial, I
 3
   don't think, I just -- I don't understand why it's necessary in
   the order. Because the bankruptcy case is going away. So, the
 5
   fact he filed a 546(b) notice to, as he says, "Perfect his
   interest in the rents on the particular property that his
 7
   client," U.S. Bank, had an interest in, which was Parkway
   North, I believe, I'm not sure what the effect of this language
   being in the order would be once the case is dismissed.
10
   fact he filed a 546(b) notice in a case that's subsequent
11
   dismissed, I don't know what he's trying to accomplish there.
12
             And that's -- my position would be that the parties
13
   ought to be left where they are. If he didn't perfect under
14
   state law before the case was filed, and the case is being
15
   dismissed, then he needs to take whatever action he needs to
16
   take to perfect his security interest.
17
18
             THE COURT: Well, I assume this is directed to he
   wants to be perfected in what was accumulated post petition.
20
   That's --
             MR. BUNCHER: That's --
21
             THE COURT: That's what I assumed --
22
             MR. BUNCHER: That's --
23
             THE COURT: -- the language was designed to do was to
24
   make it clear that you didn't cut off his prior state
```

```
52
  perfection by the bankruptcy filing, and that he perfected
2
   again during the case.
             MR. BUNCHER: Well, I'm not sure that's the case,
3
   Your Honor. Because the way he argues this is he says, "Well,
   I would have had to seize control of the rents pre -- outside
5
   of bankruptcy. I had to take possession or control of the
   rents."
8
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
             MR. BUNCHER: "I didn't do that. But when the
9
   bankruptcy case was filed, I filed this 546(b) notice.
10
   Therefore, I'm now perfected in the rents, even though I
11
   didn't" --
12
             THE COURT: With respect to post petition --
13
             MR. BUNCHER: Right.
14
             THE COURT: Well, I don't have a problem with this if
15
   it's simply that he took the action to perfect against the post
16
   petition rents without prejudice to whether or not that has any
17
   impact outside of the bankruptcy process itself.
18
             MR. BUNCHER: Okay.
19
             THE COURT: So, if he was unperfected prior to the
20
   filing, and now that the case is being dismissed, if state law
21
   would require him to do something further, but --
22
             MR. BUNCHER: All right. If that's how the Court
23
   interprets that, that's fine. I, frankly, didn't -- I didn't
24
   quite understand what he was trying to accomplish by this, Your
25
```

```
53
   Honor, or what the effect of it would be upon the dismissal.
   And, therefore, I didn't want it in the order.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. BUNCHER: But whatever the Court wants to do on
 4
 5
   this.
             It appears -- I mean I'll just say, the way I read
 6
   this, it appears to me what he's saying is based on this
   language in the order, he's deemed to have perfected his
 8
   security interest in the rent as of the date he filed the
 9
   546(b) notice. And, therefore, I think what he's trying to do
10
   by the --
11
             THE COURT: Well, how about as to the post petition
12
   rents? Well, it's --
13
             MR. BUNCHER: My concern is he's going to try to
14
   argue after the case is dismissed that, "Well, I've already
15
   perfected my security interest because I filed a 546(b) notice,
16
   and, look, the Court has recognized it in its order" --
17
18
             THE COURT: Yes, I hear you.
             MR. BUNCHER: -- and, therefore, in State Court, he's
19
   going to say, "those rents are mine," or he's going to demand
   the debtor return the rents, or whatever. And I'm just saying
21
   I think the parties need to deal with that outside the
22
   Bankruptcy Court.
23
             THE COURT: All right.
24
             MR. BUNCHER: Second point, the next paragraph,
25
```

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

rather lengthy paragraph on Page 3 is requested by Mr. Warner. (· 1 | And essentially, Mr. Warner wants the debtor to return all the cash that's in the Fenton Centre DIP account.

Our position, Your Honor -- and I think Mr. Weitman tried to bring this up when the Court ruled, that "Well, the Court ought to put in the order that the debtor has to give all the money back." And the Court, at that time, commented, "Well, the lender didn't have the money to start with." I mean the debtor, FRE, had cash from the Fenton Centre in its own bank account when the case was filed.

There was a lockbox arrangement, but there was also certain cash that was in the debtor's possession that --

THE COURT: Well, the bank -- as I recall it, the bank actually turned over cash to you from the lockbox.

MR. BUNCHER: They did turn over cash from the But there was also a bank account that preexisted the lockbox. case filing.

THE COURT: So, how much was in that account versus what was turned over in the lockbox?

MR. BUNCHER: I don't have the schedules with me here, Your Honor, to know exactly what the balance of the cash was on January 4th; I could find that out.

THE COURT: But shouldn't -- I mean at a minimum, shouldn't the parties be put in the position -- if there were funds that came from the lockbox that you got as a result of

this filing that you otherwise didn't have, shouldn't that be returned to the lockbox?

MR. BUNCHER: Arguably that would be one way to deal with this, Your Honor. I'm not sure where the number comes from that Mr. Warner has included, this 122,394. I was trying to get confirmation from Mr. Kraun (phonetic) just this morning because we did give Mr. Warner a bank statement last week that showed a balance in the account.

My understanding is there may only be some \$5,000 in the debtor in possession account based upon other bills that have been paid. But I would need to get -- I would need to have time to get further confirmation of that. But this number I don't think is correct in terms of what the balance of the funds are.

I would also need to look at the schedules to see what the balance of the account was at the time.

But, frankly, I'm not sure why the debtor should have to return any of the cash a this point in time, Your Honor. The lenders asked for this case to be dismissed, and then now they want to come back and say, "Well, wait a minute, we want to ask for more relief from this Court that we just asked to be dismissed from."

THE COURT: Well, but in fairness, you got the relief of making me give them -- make them give you the money.

MR. BUNCHER: I understand. I think most of that

```
56
1 money has been spent. Because, as you'll recall, the cash
  budgets were exactly the -- I mean they are budgets, so there
  could be some variance. But my understanding is most all of
3
   that cash has been spent. I would need -- I would need to
   verify that with the client.
             THE COURT: But is that -- I mean, Mr. Warner, is
   that the issue? You want the cash that hasn't been spent back,
   to the extent it came from the lockbox.
             MR. WARNER: That's not a one-word answer. It's not
 9
10
   a yes or a no.
             And so if Mr. Buncher is done --
11
             THE COURT: All right.
12
             MR. WARNER: -- I'll respond to the Court. But the
13
   answer is "it's not that simple, Your Honor."
14
             THE COURT: All right.
15
             MR. WARNER: And I'm happy to address it when it's my
16
   opportunity at the podium.
             THE COURT: All right.
18
             MR. BUNCHER: I quess the only other point we'd make
19
   there, Your Honor, is Mr. Warner's client wasn't even a movant
20 I
   on the dismissal of this bankruptcy case. And now he's asking
21 I
   for relief to be put into the order on behalf of his client. I
22
   understand he's a party in interest, but I think that point
23
   should be noted.
24
             The last provision in the order, Mr. Weitman
25
```

requested that the order take immediate effect. And I don't believe that relief was even requested. I understand the Court, under certain circumstances, has discretion to do that.

However, I would ask that the Court just enter the order and allow the order to run the time period required under the rules and the code to become a final order. I don't think we intend to appeal this, but I just -- I don't think there's a need to have this become immediately effective. It's already too late to post the property for foreclosure on -- I think the only reason perhaps that he wants that is so that they can post for foreclosure again. It's already too late to post for March anyway.

So, we would just ask that the Court not include the "immediate effect" language at the end of the order.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Buncher.

MR. WEITMAN: Your Honor, David Weitman for Wells
17 Fargo.

I believe the only issue that I have with Mr. Buncher's comments is with respect to when the order becomes effective. And presently, I had submitted language that said that, "All stays otherwise applicable to the effectiveness of this order shall be inapplicable and waived for cause."

And actually I did a little bit more research on that. And under -- it's actually in the Advisory Committee notes, a Rule 9014 that makes clear that, in fact, the ten-day

```
58
   stay period for a 14 days now is inapplicable with respect to
   motions to dismiss.
             THE COURT: Where is -- where did you say?
3
             MR. WEITMAN: Does Your Honor have a West edition
4
5
   possibly?
6
             THE COURT: Yes.
             MR. WEITMAN: Page 656. In addition, a quick bit of
7
   research indicated that Judge Clark said exactly the same thing
   in May of 2010. May I hand up to the Court a copy of the
9
10
   memorandum opinion?
             THE COURT: You may.
11
             MR. WEITMAN: Thank you.
12
             THE COURT: I'm not sure we are looking at the same
13
             So what rule are you looking at?
14
   version.
             MR. WEITMAN: It's the Advisory Committee notes.
15
                        I know. What rule?
16
             THE COURT:
            MR. WEITMAN: At 9014, it's on Page 656.
17
             THE COURT: Not in my version.
18
             MR. WEITMAN: Okay. Well, Your Honor, if I may just
19
   read from Judge Clark's --
             THE COURT: Well, just hang on a second, Mr. Weitman,
21
22
   please.
             MR. WEITMAN: There's another section that refers to
23
   the 1999 amendments, which says that basically Rule 706.2
24
   provides that Rule 62 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, which
```

```
59
   governs stays of proceedings, it references that. And then
 1
   says, "Further, although there are these contested hearings,
   it's clear from 1017(b) that this is a contested hearing unless
 3
   there is a specific provision of the rule or the code that
 4
   grants additional time" --
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Well, then -- .
 7
             MR. WEITMAN: -- "these things are immediately
 8
   effective."
             THE COURT: If you're right, you don't need anything
 9
10
   in the order.
             MR. WEITMAN: Correct. I just need to say that it's
11
   immediately effective upon entry. And, Your Honor, just
12
13
   reading --
             THE COURT: No, you don't even need that.
14
   Clark is saying that it is, just as a matter of law. Right?
15
             MR. WEITMAN: That would work, as well, Your Honor.
16
   And that I don't need to -- just say it's -- it's effective,
17
   it's a final order.
18
             And then as it makes clear, as I'm reading here, and
19
   this is in the paragraph on Page 5 of the Judge's memorandum
20
   opinion, "The flaw in plaintiff's argument is that an appeal
21
   does not automatically stay the effectiveness of an order, nor
   is there an automatic stay of the effectiveness of an order in
23
   bankruptcy case for a period under Rule 62(a). The Bankruptcy
   Rules now provide that Rule 706.2 no longer applies in
```

```
60
   contested matters. See Federal Rule Bankruptcy Procedure 9014.
   With a result that stays only apply to orders in contested
   matters in two circumstances, a specific stay is supplied in a
   specific bankruptcy rule, " moving a little further, "or the
   court orders that a stay will apply. The rule regarding
5
   dismissal of bankruptcy cases, Bankruptcy Rule 1017, does not
   supply a specific stay as to orders of dismissal. Thus, the
   order of dismissal was effective upon its entry." And, again,
   that's picked up in the Advisory Committee notes.
             And I apologize, Your Honor, I --
10
             THE COURT: The Advisory Committee notes to what?
11
             MR. WEITMAN: To Rule 9014, it references that -- may
12
   I hand up to Your Honor my version of West, it may be easier?
13
             THE COURT: Okay. So, where are you looking?
14
             MR. WEITMAN: Just the sections, Your Honor, that
15
   reference how there are only certain contested matters that
161
   have hearings at a time in which the stay is not -- is
17
   effective for some ten days, later 14. And there is no
18
   specific stay under 1117(d) applicable to dismissals.
19
             THE COURT: Well, but then my point is you don't need
20
   anything in the order.
21
22
             MR. WEITMAN: Just --
             THE COURT: If you're right, then I just sign a
23
   dismissal order and you're done.
24
             MR. WEITMAN: Just say it's a final order, and that
25
```

```
61
   works.
1
             THE COURT: I don't even know that I have to do that.
2
             MR. WEITMAN: Fair enough, Your Honor. I just -- I
3
   would just like to reflect that there is no ten or 14-day
 4
 5
           It's immediately effective under the rules.
 6
             Thank you.
             MR. BUNCHER: I have received an e-mail confirmation,
 7
                There is only -- after payment of the February
   Your Honor.
 8
   bills under the budget, there's $5,044.18 in the Fenton Centre
   DIP account at this point in time.
11
             THE COURT: Well --
             MR. WARNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael
12
13
   Warner on behalf of HCM, LP.
             Your Honor, I really think I have sort of three
14
15
   comments:
             First, the money in the account should be returned.
16
   I think as the Court recognized, the dismissal of the case, by
17
   case law, puts the parties back to their prepetition status.
18
   Interestingly enough, in our situation, HCM, LP's situation,
19
   there's no cash collateral order. There's a cash agreement.
20
             THE COURT: Right.
21
             MR. WARNER: And the distinction s are very obvious.
22
   And I brought both orders in case the Court wants to look at
23
   them, and we can go through them.
24
             Between the "everybody else order" and the Fenton
25
```

```
62
           So, if I may, I'd like to approach, and I'll give the
   order.
   Court a copy of each of the two orders -- or one of each of the
3
   orders.
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
5
             MR. WARNER: The first and obvious distinction is in
   the order entitled, "Interim Order Authorizing the Debtor to
6
   Use Cash From Fenton Centre Property and for Related Relief."
             THE COURT: Yes.
             MR. WARNER: The title says "to use cash from".
 9
10
             THE COURT: Right.
             MR. WARNER: Whereas in the second one, it's
11
   entitled, "Interim Order Authorizing the Debtor to Use Cash
12
   Collateral and Provide," et cetera, et cetera.
13
             THE COURT: Right.
14
             MR. WARNER: So, one is a cash use, and one is a cash
15
16
  collateral.
             Intentionally done because my client said, "We take
17
   the position there was an absolute assignment prepetition.
   you want to fight about that now, Debtor, or do you want to get
19
   an interim order, keep it alive."
20
             The debtor said, "We'll take the latter. We won't
21
   fight about it now." And we said, "Fine."
22
23
             So, if the Court would then turn to Page 2 of the
   Fenton order --
24
25
             THE COURT: Yes.
```

MR. WARNER: -- staying in the first paragraph at the 1 2 last section after "Fenton Centre cash" is defined, it says, "From the real property securing HCM, LP lender's secured claim 3 were absolutely and unconditionally assigned to the HCM, LP 4 lenders before January 4th, and are not property of the estate 5 6 (debtor disagrees)." 7 THE COURT: Right. MR. WARNER: So, we acknowledge that it was not cash 8 9 collateral, at least for purposes of an argument. But we then went ahead and said, "We'll propose you 10 can use some of it, and we will actually" as the Court 11 recognized, "transferred funds." 12 If you read through this, you'll recognize that the 13 funds had to be used according to the budget, and it remained 14 in the debtor's DIP account, to the extent it wasn't used. 15 So, comparing that to the other order for everybody 16 else, you'll see that it clearly acknowledges cash collateral 17 in the other order. 18 19 THE COURT: Right. MR. WARNER: It uses that term. So, we start 20 initially with the concept of "it's arguably not cash 21 22 collateral." We then take -- and look at what Judge Kiener 23 (phonetic) said on what we do with a dismissal. And we restore 24 parties to their prepetition status. 349 says that.

25

```
64
   says that.
1
             So, then the question becomes, "How much money do you
2
   turnover?" Okay.
3
             So, we, in recognizing this, sent an e-mail on the
   23rd of February to Mr. Buncher saying, "Give us an accounting
   of what you've used."
7
             And so if I might, I will hand the Court --
             THE COURT: Thank you.
8
             MR. WARNER: Thank you. This is an e-mail from my
9
   office to Mr. Buncher at 11:05 A.M. on the 23rd, followed by
10
   Mr. Buncher's e-mail at 4:57, the same day, saying, "Here's the
11
   accounting and line items."
12
             So, lo and behold, we get an accounting of their bank
13
   account on the 23rd. That's all we know, and that's the only
14
   evidence before the Court.
15
             THE COURT: Well, it's not evidence before the Court.
16
             MR. WARNER: I appreciate that.
17
             THE COURT: You've handed it up, but it's not been
18
   admitted into evidence.
19
             MR. WARNER: No, no, no. And I'm happy -- I'm happy
20
   to make it a record, and put Mr. Buncher on, and ask him if he
21
   sent this e-mail to me. We can go through that effort, and I'd
22
   like to so that the record is clear. Because that's what we
23
24
   have.
             In fact, my e-mail says -- or my firm's e-mail says,
25
```

65 "Give us dailies so that when we get to this hearing, we're not 2 having this dispute." And I will ask the Court for permission to put Mr. 3 4 Buncher on. If you take the debtor's numbers as valid, and don't 5 -- I don't want the record to reflect that my comment means I 6 7 agree that they're valid. 8 But if you do it, their own accounting says there's 9 122,394.11 in the bank on this date. Now, the Court asked a question: How much was in the 10 account -- I don't think the Court said it this way. "How much 11 did the debtor put into the account to open the account?" 12 other words, what was the debtor really holding on the date of 13 the petition that it deposited that it didn't get from HCM, LP? 14 And the answer is very simple: It's 36,189.85. 15 If the Court looks at -- one, two, three, four -- the 16 fifth page of the e-mail, there's a date of 1/21/2011, it's the 17 second line item. 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. MR. WARNER: It says, "Transfer to DIP account." 20 THE COURT: Correct. 21 MR. WARNER: And that's for 36,189. 22 23 THE COURT: Right. MR. WARNER: I absolutely agree that money was not 24 25 transferred from my client.

```
66
             Now, notwithstanding it came from rents,
1
   notwithstanding it may have violated prepetition agreements, I
3
   understand that. So, I've done the quick math. Instead of
   122,394.11, if you deduct 36,189.85, the number is 86,204.26.
   That's what we believe should be turned over.
5
             THE COURT: But the debtor is telling me they don't
 6
   have that much, Mr. Warner.
             MR. WARNER: The debtor is telling you via Mr.
8
 9
   Buncher, without evidence, that they have that --
                         There's no evidence before me right now.
10
             THE COURT:
             MR. WARNER: Fine. If I might, I'd like to call Mr.
11
   Buncher to the stand.
12
             THE COURT: Well, you may, but -- Mr. Buncher, do you
13
   have a client representative here? And if not, can you get one
14
   here? I mean, Mr. Warner, let's be practical. I am not going
15
  to order the debtor to turnover more cash than it has. If it
16
   has used the cash to pay bills relating to your client's
17
   collateral, which it was authorized to do pursuant to an order
18
   of this Court, I am not going to require the debtor to give you
19
20
   more cash than it has.
21
             MR. WARNER: I appreciate that.
             THE COURT: And you shouldn't expect me to --
22
             MR. WARNER: And I don't.
23
                        -- from my perspective.
24
             THE COURT:
25
             MR. WARNER: I don't.
```

is that you think there should be 86,000 because you asked him to give you dailies, and he didn't. And, frankly, he should have. But -- and I want to put you back in the position you were in. But I also don't want to put you into a better position than you were in, which is that if rents had been used for the property, you agreed they could be on an interim basis while we sorted out this fuss.

And -- now I don't know what number is there. I know

And -- now I don't know what number is there. I know Mr. Buncher has gotten an e-mail that says it's \$5,000, and maybe it is, and maybe it's not. But --

MR. WARNER: I'll tell you what, Your Honor — and I fully appreciate the Court's comment, and I want the order entered dismissing this case. I'll change the number to 5,044.18 because — and I want to make sure that I am reading this right. This Court retains jurisdiction over that number by virtue of the language in the proposed order. So, that if we get some accounting, and it turns out to be inappropriate, I can be back here saying, "The number should have been larger." Is that how the Court interprets the order on the retention of jurisdiction?

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. WARNER: And I appreciate that.

THE COURT: I mean I --

MR. WARNER: The second paragraph -- second ordered

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 ● FAX 215-862-6639 ● E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

```
68
  paragraph of the proposed order reads, "This Court shall have
   continuing jurisdiction to decide disputes relating to the
2
   matters."
             I'm going to assume that the dollar amount turned
4
   over is a dispute. And, frankly, I'd like the record to
 5
   reflect that. And we'll take the $5,000 in accordance with
   this paragraph.
             THE COURT: Well -- Mr. Buncher?
 8
             MR. BUNCHER: What Mr. Warner has just said is they
 9
   ought to be restored to the position they were in before the
10
   bankruptcy. Well, before the bankruptcy, the debtor had
11
   36,189; now the debtor has less than that.
12
             It spent the money on whatever bills it was
13
   authorized to spend it on under the cash collateral --
14
             THE COURT: well, how do I know that?
15
             MR. BUNCHER: We'd have to get Mr. Kraun, who sent me
16
   the e-mail, who has testified here, who has prepared the
17 I
   monthly operating reports, and have him testify about it.
18 I
   I've sent him another e-mail saying, "how did you get from 122
19
   on February 23rd to" -- I mean perhaps we could take a recess
20
   and Mr. Warner and I could get on the phone with Mr. Kraun and
21
   try to sort this out where Mr. Warner is comfortable about the
22
23
   accounting.
             THE COURT: No offense: Why didn't you do that
24
   before the hearing? I mean Mr. Warner has been asking for
25 l
```

this.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUNCHER: Your Honor, my position is that he's 2 3 not entitled to this in the order, to get -- to get the cash. I mean first of all, the debtor disputes, as was indicated in 4 the parenthetical in the order, he wanted a separate order 5 because he contended that there was an absolute assignment of rents. I said: We disagree with that. But rather than have a 7 big fight about that, let's -- I just want to get an order entered that allows us to use the cash. So, we did a separate 9 10 order.

I don't think we're here today to litigate the absolute assignment issue. And if we are, then we would have matters we would present on that.

I, frankly, just don't think he's entitled to the relief he wants in the order. Period.

THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that. But the reality is I'm going to try and restore people to where they should have been, absent this filing.

Now, it's undisputed that a whole bunch of money was sitting in a lockbox that you didn't have access to. And pursuant to an order of this Court, I directed that that be turned over to the debtor for use.

MR. BUNCHER: Right.

THE COURT: So, now the issue is -- and I appreciate that there is no pleading specifically on file asking for this.

TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC.
PHONE 215-862-1115 • FAX 215-862-6639 • E-MAIL CourtTranscripts@aol.com

70 But it appears to me that as part of trying to restore the parties to the position they were in, that monies that I 3 required to be turned over to the debtor should go back. And to be blunt, I don't know if I'd do that on a first in/last out sort of basis, or what basis. And that makes 5 this far more complicated than it needs to be. So, I guess -- is there some reason why the request for periodic updates, since you knew we were going to argue about this, why you didn't provide periodic updates? I mean obviously a whole bunch of money has apparently gone out of 10 this account in a relatively short period of time, which isn't 11 completely surprising. I mean there are bills to pay. 12 13 MR. BUNCHER: Right. THE COURT: But nevertheless, to go from 122,000 on 14 the 22nd, down to 5,000 in less than week is a little 15 16 startling. MR. BUNCHER: Your Honor, I can't -- I can't speak to 17 this. I -- if the Court wants testimony from Mr. Kraun about 18 what happened to the cash, I mean I'll have to get Mr. Kraun 19 down here. You know, Mr. -- the Court has observed Mr. Kraun. 20 I think Mr. Kraun is a credible person. There's no reason to 21 think he stole the money, or did anything improper. 22 THE COURT: And I don't think Mr. Warner is thinking 23 that either. But -- so --24 I 25 MR. BUNCHER: I quess I don't understand why we need

```
71
   to go through all this. The debtor had 36,000 in its own
   account that he didn't have control over when the case was
   filed.
3
             THE COURT: But where did it come from? Was that --
 4
   whose money -- how did you have 36,000?
5
6
             MR. BUNCHER: I have no idea.
7
             THE COURT: And I assume --
8
             MR. WARNER: Your Honor --
             THE COURT: -- under the loan documents, that all the
9
10
   funds were supposedly going into the lockbox.
11
             MR. BUNCHER: I believe there was a cash collateral
   agreement that Mr. Warner sent to me. Yes, I -- these are
12
   questions I can't answer, Your Honor. But, again, the reason I
13
   wanted to bring the $5,000 balance to the Court's and Mr.
14
   Warner's attention is, to me, this is sort of -- we're wasting
15
   a lot of -- pardon me. I'm not suggesting --
16
17
             THE COURT: No, no, no.
             MR. BUNCHER: -- is wasting time. I think we're all
18
   wasting a lot of time about $5,000 is my point.
             And if the debtor had 36, how it had it, I don't
20
   know. These parties have been in litigation in State Court
21
   for, I don't know how long. There was allegations the debtor
22
   took money prepetition that it shouldn't have taken. There was
23
   counterclaims filed back against his client by the debtor to
24
   the effect, well, you improperly swept all kinds of money out
```

```
72
   of our account to the tune of several million dollars and paid (
2
   down the debt.
             So, to me, the case is being dismissed. The parties
3
   are in litigation in State Court. And obviously the building
4
5
   needs to be run.
             So, these parties are going to have to figure out
 6
   what happens to the cash and who is going to pay the bills over
   there at the Fenton Centre building, or else the building is
   going to go dark.
                       So --
             THE COURT: Or you're going to file another
10
11
   bankruptcy case --
12
             MR. BUNCHER: True.
             THE COURT: -- and --
13
             MR. BUNCHER: Right. Then we'll be back here talking
14
   about whether it's an absolute assignment or -- in any event,
15
   if we need to get more detail, I can get Mr. Kraun on the phone
16
   with Mr. Warner. If Mr. Warner wants -- or the Court wants me
17
   to get Mr. Kraun down here to figure out where the money went
18
   back 122 to five, I'll be happy to do that. Whatever the Court
19
20
   wants.
             THE COURT: Well, it's not what I want. I mean the
21
   reality is is there is a request to require you to turn over
22
   $122,000. And --
23
             MR. BUNCHER: But --
24
             THE COURT: So, it's what you want in order to make a
25
```

```
73
1
   record as to what the amount of the cash currently is.
2
             MR. BUNCHER: Well --
             THE COURT: It's not what the Court wants.
 3
             MR. BUNCHER: As Mr. Warner said. I sent an e-mail
 4
   with information that said that the client gave me last week
 5
   that's no -- he's willing to trust that information, that it
 6
 7
   was accurate, it was 122 ---
 8
             THE COURT: No, not really. Not really.
 9
             MR. BUNCHER: Okay.
            . THE COURT: Because, I mean, he made that caveat
10
           That he wasn't necessarily prepared to agree that was
11
   the right amount, it's just the best he had because he
12
   obviously has no knowledge of what the amount actually is,
13
   other than what the debtor tells him it is.
14
             MR. BUNCHER: Sure. Well, my only point is that
15
   information, from his perspective, is no better than the
   information I gave this morning. They're both from me and my
17
   client, and they're -- the source is the same.
18
19
             I'll -- again, I -- my position is he's not entitled
   to the relief he wants in this order, period. But if we want
20
21
   to have --
             THE COURT: I'm going to give him some relief.
22
23
             MR. BUNCHER: All right.
             THE COURT: So, now the issue is do you want me to
24
   give it to him on the basis of last week's information, which,
25
```

```
74
   frankly, is harsh given the fact that your client would testify
   if a client were here that it's not that amount of money today.
 3
             MR. BUNCHER: Well --
             THE COURT: So, I'm actually trying to help you, not
 4
   hurt you.
 6
             MR. BUNCHER: I understand.
 7
             MR. WARNER: And I'm willing to take an order that
   says five grand.
 9
             MR. BUNCHER:
                          Well --
                          Because I'm willing to believe the e-
10
             MR. WARNER:
                          Not that it's accurate, but that's the
   mail Mr. Buncher has.
11
   number so that it's not a harsh result.
12
             MR. BUNCHER: Fair enough. I don't think he's
13
   entitled to that, and it's not consistent with the 349 argument
14
15
   he made, which is restore everybody back to where we started,
   which the 5,000 is less than what we started with.
16
             THE COURT: Well, except how do I know that -- I mean
17
   then we're down to whose 5,000 is the 5,000 that's left. Does
18
   it come form his lockbox, or was it part of the 36 that was
   there first. That gets to my first in/last out, who the heck
20
   knows.
21
22
             MR. BUNCHER: Okay.
                                  Well --
             THE COURT: And it's conceivable that the debtor
23
   spent 36,000 of its own money, and then started spending
   lockbox funds. Conversely, it's possible that they spent the
25
```

```
75
   lockbox funds first hoping to preserve their own cash. I have
 1
 2
   no way of knowing.
              MR. BUNCHER: Fair enough. We'll just agree that the
 3
    5,044.18 will be returned.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. Then here's
 5
 6
    what we're going to do on the order.
 7
              MR. BUNCHER: To the lockbox, I'm assuming we're
 8
    talking about.
                                In accordance with the order.
 9
              MR. WARNER: No.
    don't mean to talk to Mr. Buncher, I'm talking to the Court.
10
    We've given a procedure that it needs to be issued either to --
11
    either wired --
12
              THE COURT: His point is is you're going to put it
13
14
    back in the lockbox.
              MR. WARNER: Oh, yeah, put it back in the lockbox.
15
    just want it, and I want it timely. I don't -- because we now
16
    have been told there might be another filing, I want it
17
    immediately so that I can get into the lockbox.
18
            THE COURT: All right. Delete the -- Mr.
19
    Sakonchick's request, and he can prove up later the fact that
20
21
    he filed his notice, and you all can argue about what effect
22
    that has or didn't have.
              With respect to Mr. Warner's request, we will change
. 23
    the 122 to 5,044.18. And we don't need the "This Court shall
24
    retain jurisdiction there" because we've got a jurisdiction
```

```
76
  provision earlier.
             And we'll delete Mr. Weitman's request because if his
2
  argument this morning is correct, and I'm not saying it is or
3
   isn't. I have not had time to consider that carefully. But if
  his argument is correct, he doesn't need anything from the
5
   Court in the order because he believes that the law is clear
   that the order will be effective immediately.
             So, can you make those changes, Mr. Buncher, to the
8
   order and upload it to the Court? And I will sign it today.
             MR. BUNCHER: Yes, Your Honor. Just -- the reason --
10
   on the provision Mr. Warner wants, it says that the debtor
11
   shall transfer. It doesn't say where to transfer, and I'm
12
   assuming we're talking about transferring to the lockbox. I
13
   think that needs to be put in there.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
             MR. BUNCHER: All right.
16
             THE COURT: I don't see where it says that it will be
17
   deposited into the lockbox, so I think that's a fair request.
18
             MR. BUNCHER: All right.
19
20
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. BUNCHER: we'll fix that.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Now, I have -- I need an
22
   order denying Mr. Olson's stay relief request, and then -- and
23
   I -- frankly, I want to sign the stay annulment orders prior to
   signing the dismissal order.
25
```

| | 77 |
|----|---|
| 1 | So, if you all could time I mean if you could send |
| 2 | both the denial of the annulment motion and the dismissal |
| 3 | motion simultaneously, upload them both simultaneously, and |
| 4 | then let Ms. Salcido know when you've done that so that she can |
| 5 | then directly I'll instruct her to send them directly to my |
| 6 | order box so that I can get the stay order signed prior to the |
| 7 | dismissal order, and we'll enter them in that fashion, as well. |
| 8 | So but just let us know. |
| 9 | And I correctly understand from the other three |
| 10 | movants that your orders either have been uploaded already or, |
| 11 | Mr. Franke, you're going to do that as soon as you get back to |
| 12 | the office. |
| 13 | All right. So, I'm going to be looking for five |
| 14 | orders total: Four on stays, and one on the dismissal. |
| 15 | MR. BUNCHER: Yes. And we'll get you the denial of |
| 16 | the the First State for that motion and the revised |
| 17 | dismissal order like right when we get back to the office, Your |
| 18 | Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. It sounds good. Mr. Olson? |
| 20 | MR. WARNER: Mr. Buncher can he circulate that |
| 21 | order to us, please? |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 23 | MR. WARNER: Thank you. |
| 24 | MR. OLSON: I do not need to see either the order |
| 25 | denying the First State Bank motion or the order of dismissal. |
| | |

```
78
   I'm not available this morning --
1
 2
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
 3
             MR. OLSON: -- so I don't want to hold that up.
 4
             THE COURT: All right.
 5
             MR. OLSON: Just like the other annulments are
   granted, this one will just say it's denied.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                         Correct.
 8
             MR. OLSON: In that long redline motion to dismiss
   that you were looking at, the first page and a half or so that
 9
10
   was not in red was perfectly fine with me. And the stuff in
11
   red doesn't pertain to me. So --
12
             THE COURT: You're okay with that.
             MR. OLSON: -- don't let my unavailability hold up
13
   anything.
14
             THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. Thank you, Mr.
15
16
   Olson, I appreciate it.
             All right. Thank you, all, very much. I appreciate
17
18
   it.
             MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
        (Whereupon, at 10:49 A.M., the hearing was adjourned.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | 79 |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | CERTIFICATE |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from |
| 6 | the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the |
| 7 | above-entitled matter. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | /s/ Karen HarlmannAAERT CET**D0475 Date: March 13, 2011 |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPTS PLUS, INC. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Y | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010- 12:15 13:7 | 6:43- 31:11 | 6 |
| \$ | 58:9 | 0.45 | ADDITION- 58:7 |
| \$122,000- 72:23 | 2011 - 13:8 79:11 | 7 | ADDITIONAL- 59:5 |
| \$5,000- 55:9 | | 706.2- 58:24 | ADDRESS- 56:16 |
| 67:10 68:6 71:14, | 21- 7:20 18:2,5, | 59:25 | 1 |
| 19 | 8,10 26:19 | 33.23 | ADDRESSED- 13:2 |
| | 21 ^{sr} - 11:12 | 8 | ADJACENT- 31:25 |
| \$5,044.18 - 61:9 | 22 ND - 44:6 70:15 | 86,000- 67:2 | ADJOURNED- 78:20 |
| <u> </u> | 23 RD - 43:23 44:2, | 86,204.26- 66:4 | ADMISSION- 18:7 |
| - | 6 64:5,10,14 | 86,204.20- 00.4 | ADMITTED- 11:2, |
| &- 4:10 9:8 10:2 | 68:20 | 9 | 21 12:22 13:13 |
| 11:9 12:4 | 28 ^{TR} - 10:22 | 9014- 57:25 | 18:10 26:20 64:19 |
| l | 29 TH - 29:6,11,12, | 58:17 60:1,12 | ADVANCE - 47:20 |
| /s/- 79:11 | 15 | 9:01- 31:15 | ADVISORY- 57:24 |
| /5/- /9:11 | 2:45- 16:23 | a:01- 21:12 | |
| 1 | | A | 58:15 60:9,11 |
| 1- 14:12 15:2 | 2ND- 29:3 | ABLE- 16:11 | AFTERNOON- 6:8 |
| | 3 | 1 | 16:18,19 26:7 |
| 17:23,24 18:25 | | 31:10 43:2 47:17 | 31:6 32:21 33:5 |
| 26:5,8,10,12,17 | 3- 54:1 | ABSENT- 69:18 | AFTERNOONS- 14:7 |
| 1/21/2011- 65:17 | 30- 41:13 | ABSOLUTE- 62:18 | AGAINST- 12:3 |
| 10- 31:15 | 30[™]- 29:5,13,15, | 69:6,12 72:15 | 52:16 71:24 |
| 1017- 60:6 | 20,21 | ABSOLUTELY- 30:5, | AGREE- 5:9 15:11 |
| 1017B- 59:3 | 31st- 29:5 30:20, | 6 63:4 65:24 | 46:1,23 65:7,24 |
| 10:49- 78:20 | 23,24 | ACCELERATION- | 73:11 75:3 |
| 1117D- 60:19 | 349- 63:25 74:14 | 13:2 | AGREED- 5:7 |
| 11:05- 64:10 | 36- 71:20 74:19 | ACCESS- 69:20 | 46:17,19 67:7 |
| 11:30- 10:22 | 36,000- 71:1,5 | ACCOMMODATION- | AGREEMENT- 5:4,5, |
| 11:55 | 74:24 | 31:25 | 15,16 6:3,8 11:8, |
| 1 | 36,189 - 65:22 | ACCOMPLISH- | 16 61:20 71:12 |
| 122- 68:19 72:19 | 68:12 | 51:12 52:25 | AGREEMENTS- 66:2 |
| 73:7 75:24 | | | _ · |
| 122,000- 70:14 | 36,189.85 - 65:15 | ACCORDANCE - 68:6 | AHEAD- 34:11 |
| 122,394- 55:5 | 66:4 | 75:9 | 37:15 38:2,8 |
| 122,394.11 - 65:9 | 362D - 35:2 | ACCORDING- 31:14 | 40:11 63:10 |
| 66:4 | 3 RD - 12:11 29:3 | 44:4 63:14 | ALIVE- 62:20 |
| 12:30- 26:4 | | ACCOUNT- 54:3,10, | ALLEGATIONS- |
| 12:55- 18:19 19:4 | 4 | 16,18 55:8,10,16 | 71:22 |
| 13- 79:11 | 4- 27:9 36:17 | 61:10,16 63:15 | ALLEN- 25:9 |
| 13 TH - 13:7 | 4:57- 64:11 | 64:14 65:11,12, | ALLOW- 6:12 8:14 |
| L | 4 TH - 13:15 17:18, | 20 70:11 71:2 | 57:5 |
| 14- 7:7 10:13,24 | 24 25:22 26:24 | 72:1 | ALLOWED- 22:10 |
| 58:1 60:18 | 29:1 31:1 54:22 | ACCOUNTING- 64:5, | ALLOWS- 69:9 |
| 14-DAY- 61:4 | 63:5 | 12,13 65:8 67:18 | AMAZING- 43:12 |
| 15- 14:12 | | 68:23 | |
| 16- 7:7 11:4,18 | 5 | ACCUMULATED~ | AMENDMENTS- 58:24 |
| 19 - 7:7,19 11:23 | 5- 59:20 | 51:19 | AMERICAN- 4:13 |
| 12:19 | 5,000- 70:15 | ACCURATE- 73:7 | AMOUNT- 68:4 |
| 1982 - 9:12 | 74:16,18 | 74:11 | 73:1,12,13 74:2 |
| 1999- 58:24 | 5,044.18 - 67:15 | 1 | ANNOUNCE- 6:7 |
| 1:55- 34:19 | 75:4,24 | ACKNOWLEDGE- 63:8 | ANNUL- 5:7 6:8 |
| 1:55- 34:19 1 st - 29:4 | 541- 33:5 | ACKNOWLEDGES- | 48:12 |
| 1 - 29:4 | | 63:17 | ANNULLED- 5:11 |
| 2 | 546B- 51:2,6,11 | ACTED- 46:18 | 6:1 |
| | 52:10 53:10,16 | ACTING- 34:20 | ANNULMENT- 35:10 |
| 2- 49:23 50:23 | 6 | ACTION- 51:16 | 40:20 46:16,23 |
| 62:23 | | 52:16 | 76:24 77:2 |
| 20- 7:7,19 12:24 | 62- 58:25 | ACTS- 48:8 | 1 |
| 13:10 48:7 | 62A - 59:24 | ACTUAL- 8:9 46:5, | ANNULMENTS- |
| 2009- 10:22 11:12 | 656 - 58:7,17 | | 46:19 78:5 |
| 1 | | | |

| 38:18 56:9,14 | ATTACHED- 7:6,12 | 15:10 16:16 17:4 | BOX- 77:6 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 65:15 71:13 | 8:6 18:4,20,23 | 19:1,9,14,24 | BRINGS- 48:17 |
| ANYWAY- 8:12 | ATTEMPT- 11:13 | 20:9,11,16,18,22 | BROADER- 47:10 |
| 46:9 57:12 | 12:6,16 22:7 36:2 | 21:1,4,14,25 | BROUGHT- 61:23 |
| APOLOGETIC- 15:23 | ATTEMPTED- 15:5 | 22:1 24:4,9,11 | BUDGET- 61:9 |
| APOLOGIZE- 30:21 | ATTEMPTING- 10:5 | 25:10 26:16 27:6, | 63:14 |
| 60:10 | 13:24 | 17 31:11 32:16 | BUDGETS- 56:2 |
| APPARENT- 48:9 | ATTEMPTS- 10:7 | 34:2,8,10,13 | |
| | | 36:14 38:4,7,22 | BUILDING- 72:4,8 |
| APPARENTLY- 70:10 | ATTENTION- 71:15 | 44:11 45:7,8 | BUNCH- 69:19 |
| APPEAL- 57:7 | ATTORNEY- 9:8 | 46:6,9,19,25 | 70:10 |
| 59:21 | 22:12 27:13,19 | 47:4,13,15,19 | BUNCHER- 4:5 |
| APPEAR- 4:23 | 28:11 47:21 | 48:13 49:7,8,24 | 7:11 48:19,24 |
| APPEARANCES- 4:3 | ATTORNEYS- 28:17 | 51:5 52:1,6,10, | 49:12,22 50:2,4, |
| APPEARED- 17:3 | AUGUST- 10:22 | 18 53:23 56:21 | 14,22 51:21,23 |
| APPEARS- 19:1 | AUTHORIZED- | 59:24 60:1,4,6 | 52:3,9,14,19,23 |
| 53:6,7 70:1 | 66:18 68:14 | 68:11 72:11 | 53:4,14,19,25 |
| APPLICABLE- | AUTHORIZING- | BASED- 27:23 | 54:15,20 55:3,25 |
| 57:21 60:19 | 62:6,12 | 53:7 55:10 | 56:11,19 57:15 |
| APPLIES- 39:21 | AUTOMATIC- 5:7, | BASIS- 67:7 70:5 | 61:7 64:5,10,21 |
| 59:25 | 11 20:15,22 21:1 | 73:25 | 65:4 66:9,12,13 |
| APPLY- 60:2,5 | 28:1 37:8,9 | BEAR- 13:4 14:22 | 67:10 68:8,9,16 69:2,23 70:13,17, |
| APPRECIATE- | 46:10,16 47:1,11, | BEARS- 15:5 | 25 71:6,11,18 |
| 37:17 47:20 | 25 48:4 59:23 | BECAME- 48:9 | 72:12,14,24 73:2, |
| 64:17 66:21 | AUTOMATICALLY- | BECOME- 57:6,8 | 4,9,15,23 74:3,6, |
| 67:13,23 69:16, | 59:22 | BECOMES- 57:19 | 9,11,13,22 75:3, |
| 24 78:16,17 | AVAILABLE- 78:1 | 64:2 | 7,10 76:8,10,16, |
| APPROACH- 7:3 | AWARE- 22:11,14 | BEGAN- 31:15 35:1 | 19,21 77:15,20 |
| 62:1 | | BEHOLD- 64:13 | BUNCHER'S- 57:19 |
| APPROVED- 23:1 | <u>B</u> | BELIEVES- 76:6 | 64:11 |
| AREN'T- 33:2 | B-1- 18:25 | BENEFIT- 45:12 | 04.11 |
| 39:14 | B-3- 63:25 | | С |
| ARGUABLY~ 55:3 | BACK- 5:20 14:10, | BETWEEN- 11:9 | CALENDAR- 29:14 |
| 63:21 | 11 15:23,24 | 23:12,25 24:1,2 | CALL- 8:1,19 |
| ARGUE- 24:22 | 31:25 35:15 37:5 | 26:4 32:25 33:13 | 13:22 14:3,8 |
| 53:15 70:8 75:21 | 54:7 55:20 56:7 | 34:19 36:24 61:25 | 15:23 22:2 43:2 |
| ARGUES- 52:4 | 61:18 67:4,19 | BIG- 7:14 35:1 | 66:11 |
| ARGUMENT- 42:8, | 70:3 71:24 72:14, | 43:14 45:18 69:8 | CALLED- 14:8 |
| 12 44:20 47:10 | 19 74:15 75:14, | BILLS- 55:10 | 15:7,17 23:8,17 |
| 59:21 63:9 67:1 | 15 77:11,17 | 61:9 66:17 68:13 | CALLS- 15:24 |
| 74:14 76:3,5 | BAKER- 42:20 | 70:12 72:7 | CAMERON- 4:12 |
| ARGUMENTS- 32:12 | BALANCE- 54:21 | BIT- 33:15 57:23 | CAN- 8:5,17 |
| ARMED- 27:1,3,8, | 55:8,13,16 71:14 | 58:7 | 10:14 11:5,24,25 |
| 11,13,22 28:12 | BANK- 4:8,13,19 | BLACK- 38:12 | 40:20 50:21 |
| ARRANGEMENT- | 5:16,19 6:4,5 | BLACK-HEARTED- | 57:10 61:24 |
| 54:11 | 10:2 11:9 12:4 | 34:20 | 63:11 64:22 |
| ASSET- 21:7 | 27:1,11,13,22 | BLANK- 14:20 | 66:14 67:19 |
| ASSIGNED- 63:4 | 28:12 51:8 54:10, | 21:6,9,17,18,20, | 72:16 75:18,20, |
| | 13,14,16 55:7 | 22 | 21 76:8 77:4,6,20 |
| ASSIGNMENT- | 64:13 65:9 77:25 | BLUNT- 70:4 | CAN'T- 12:13 |
| 62:18 69:6,12 | BANK'S- 8:24 27:3 | BOB- 4:18 | 42:14 46:23 |
| 72:15 | BANKING- 9:14 | BORROWER- 11:10 | 70:17 71:13 |
| ASSUME - 18:19 | BANKRUPTCIES- | вотн- 5:9 8:10 | CANNOT- 48:1,10 |
| 51:18 68:4 71:7 | 49:9 | 26:14 35:23 | 50:20,21 |
| ASSUMED- 51:22 | BANKRUPTCY- 8:11 | 49:20 61:23 | CAPACITY- 25:14 |
| ASSUMING- 75:7 | 10.16 04 12.26 | 73:17 77:2,3 | • |
| 1 5 6 6 6 | 9:16,24 13:25 | 13.11 11.213 | CXDTMXT |
| 76:13 | 14:4,14,17,21 | BOTTOM- 50:23 | CAPITAL- 4:21 |

CONTINUED- 7:5 CARE- 36:6 49:2 23:9 COMPANY- 11:9 CONTINUING- 68:2 CAREFULLY- 76:4 CHECKING- 15:13 12:4 15:17 23:17 CONTRARY- 43:21 COMPARING- 63:16 21:13 CARES- 44:9 COMPLETELY- 70:12 CONTROL- 52:5,6 CHRONOLOGICAL-CASE- 14:4,22 15:5 COMPLICATED- 70:6 71:2 15:10 16:16 CHRONOLOGY- 13:16 COMPRESSED-CONTROVERSIAL-17:16 22:1 32:20 33:24 43:14,19 CIRCULATE- 77:20 34:19 38:14 51:3 CONVERSATION-45:6 46:4,14,25 CIRCULATING- 49:3 CONCEDED- 40:5 17:2 28:11 49:3,12,14,24 CIRCUMSTANCE-CONCEIVABLE-50:8,17 51:5,10, CONVERSELY-41:11 74:23 11,15 52:2,3,10, CIRCUMSTANCES-CONCEPT- 63:21 33:25 34:9 37:8 21 53:15 54:10, **CONCERN-** 40:23 39:14 74:25 48:3 57:3 60:3 17 55:19 56:21 CONVEY- 20:8 CIVIL- 58:25 43:16 46:13 47:5 59:24 61:17,18, 22:20,22 23:23 CLAIM- 34:25 63:3 49:1 53:14 23 67:14 71:2 24:9 25:16,20 CLARIFY- 24:8 CONCERNED- 21:10 72:3,11 36:15 38:9 27:4 CLARK~ 58:8 59:15 CONVEYANCE- 8:10 CASES- 21:12 CONCERNS- 35:13 CLARK'S- 58:20 41:4 43:16,18 19:6 21:3 22:15 CONCLUDED- 34:6 **CLEAR-** 39:24 45:7 60:6 23:2 33:13 46:5 46:10,25 51:25 CONDITIONS- 41:14 CASH- 54:3,9,12, CONVEYANCES-57:25 59:3,19 CONDUCT- 43:18 14,15,21 55:18 21:20 64:23 76:6 44:23 46:12 56:1,4,7 61:20 CONVEYED- 20:21, CLEARLY- 63:17 CONDUCTED- 16:2, 62:7,9,12,15 25 22:8,9 26:15 4,12,13 23:15 CLIENT'S- 66:17 63:2,8,17,21 27:7,15 CLIENTS- 21:7 36:24 42:22 44:7 66:16,17,20 CONVEYING- 19:7 CONDUCTING- 9:23 22.2 68:14 69:3,9 **COPIED-** 7:15 CLOSED- 31:4 23:7 32:15 70:19 71:11 72:7 COPY- 6:24 7:21 CONFIRM- 16:11 CLOSING- 32:11 73:1 75:1 22:1 23:16,17,18, 19:13,19 46:8 49:5 CAUSE- 57:22 19 26:20 27:19, 47:3 CODE- 39:20 41:6 CAUSED- 37:23 20 58:9 62:2 CONFIRMATION-57:6 59:4 CAVEAT- 73:10 CORPORATION-55:6,12 61:7 COLE- 4:10 **CENTRE- 49:9** 11:10 CONGLOMERATE-COLLATERAL- 8:10 54:3,9 61:9 62:7 CORRECT- 5:15 49:8 27:3 28:13 61:20 63:2 72:8 13:9 15:1,3 20:1 CONGRESS- 37:11 62:13,16 63:9,17, **CENTURA-** 7:16 28:9,21 31:2 39:23 41:8,17,22 22 66:18 68:14 10:6,8 11:9 12:7 49:11 55:13 CONSIDER- 5:8 71:11 13:3 15:21 18:24 59:11 65:21 76:3, 42:4 76:4 COLLEAGUES- 43:13 25:13,19 36:13 5 78:7 79:6 CONSIDERATION-COME- 8:20 24:25 CENTURA'S- 19:7 CORRECTLY- 77:9 22:25 36:19 37:5 39:11 44:11 COULDN'T- 22:8 CONSIDERED- 49:9 44:15 49:17 CERTAIN- 35:3 37:3 50:14 55:20 71:4 CONSISTENT- 74:14 54:12 57:3 60:16 COUNSEL- 25:11 74:19 CONSTITUTES-CERTAINLY- 39:1, COUNTERCLAIMS-COMES- 21:1 22:15 39:12 13 71:24 35:18 40:21 55:4 CONSUMER- 41:9 CERTIFICATE- 79:4 COUNTY- 12:3 CONTACT- 14:13 COMFORTABLE-CERTIFY- 79:6 COURSE- 37:1 68:22 CONTACTED- 14:6 **CETERA-** 62:13 COURT'S- 35:8 **COMMENT-** 65:6 16:7 CHAIR- 8:21 25:1 43:5 47:5,15,18 67:13 CONTAINED- 19:5 43:11 50:19 67:13 71:14 COMMENTED- 54:7 CONTEMPLATES-CHANGE- 42:10,12 CRAIG- 9:8 **COMMENTS- 45:25** 39:2 67:14 75:23 CREDIBILITY-57:19 61:15 CONTENDED- 69:6 CHANGED- 15:22 36:15 COMMERCE- 4:13 CONTENT- 8:15 CHANGES- 76:8 CREDIBLE- 70:21 COMMITTEE- 57:24 CONTESTED- 59:2, CHECK- 19:13,16 CREDITOR- 21:16 58:15 60:9,11 3 60:1,2,16 21:13,14 23:4,6 34:2 37:12 46:5 COMPANIES- 31:5, CONTEXT~ 33:3 CHECKED- 20:17 CREDITORS- 26:23

| 35:1,15 39:5,14 | DECIDE- 8:8 | 65:14 67:3 68:24 | DOLLAR- 68:4 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 40:7,25 41:4 | 36:19 68:2 | 69:20 70:9 71:2 | DOLLARS- 72:1 |
| 42:4 45:18 46:18 | DECIDED- 38:1 | 75:22 | DOUG- 4:5 48:19 |
| CROSS- 17:12,13 | DECISION- 8:14 | DIFFERENT- 22:18 | DRAFTED- 49:18 |
| 28:4,6 | 22:4,6 47:21,23 | 29:24 47:16 | |
| CURRENT- 49:19 | 48:5,9,11 | DIP- 54:3 61:10 | Ė |
| CURRENTLY- 73:1 | DEDUCT- 66:4 | 63:15 65:20 | EACH- 43:12 62:2 |
| CUT- 51:25 | DEED- 14:22,24 | DIRECTED- 51:18 | EARLIER- 29:7,25 |
| | 15:21 16:20,22, | 69:21 | 30:1 76:1 |
| D | 23,25 17:5,6,9 | DIRECTLY- 77:5 | EARLY- 4:23 |
| DAILIES- 65:1 | 18:21,23 19:6 | DISAGREE- 69:7 | EASIER- 60:13 |
| 67:3 | 20:7,9 22:11,14, | DISAGREED- 39:4 | EDITION- 58:4 |
| DALLAS- 12:3 | 16,20,22 23:17, | DISAGREES- 63:6 | EFFECT- 40:1 |
| 15:17 16:5 | 19,22 24:8 31:22 | DISALLOW- 8:14 | 41:11 51:9 53:1 |
| DANGEROUS- 46:12 | 32:21,22,25 | DISCRETION- 35:4, | 57:1,14 71:25 |
| 47:6 | 34:23 35:22 36:1, | 8,19 40:22 41:21 | 75:21 |
| DARK- 72:9 | 7,14,20 38:21 | | EFFECTIVE- 20:8 |
| DATE- 11:11 12:9, | 43:22 44:8,14,15, | 42:1 43:6,10 48:2 57:3 | 23:23 24:2,8 |
| 10 13:6 53:9 | 24 45:7,8 47:19, | DISMISS- 7:11 | 33:1,11 57:8,20 |
| 65:9,13,17 79:11 | 24 | | 59:8,12,17 60:8, |
| DATED- 43:25 | DEEDS- 21:20 | 33:24 43:22 46:14 49:3 58:2 | 18.61:5 76:7 |
| DAVE- 30:15 | 27:20 28:12,15, | 46:14 | EFFECTIVENESS- |
| DAVID- 4:20 16:6 | 20 30:7,10,11,16, | | 57:21 59:22,23 |
| 57:16 | 24 31:1,6,7,8,14, | DISMISSAL- 4:22 | EFFORT- 46:8 |
| | 16 | 6:14,18 35:7 | 64:22 |
| DAY- 9:19,23 10:5 13:20 16:23 | DEEMED- 53:8 | 40:16 48:18 53:1 | EGREGIOUS- 43:18 |
| | DEFINED- 63:2 | 56:21 60:6,7,8, | |
| 17:8 30:1 31:9 | DELETE- 75:19 | 24 61:17 63:24 | ELECTRONIC- 79:7 |
| 64:11 | 76:2 | 76:25 77:2,7,14, | EMAIL- 14:15,19, |
| DAYS- 41:14 50:7 | DELIVER- 17:6 | 17,25 | 20 15:7 16:15 |
| 58:1 60:18 | | DISMISSALS- 60:19 | 18:12,16,18,20 |
| DEAL- 53:22 55:3 | DELIVERED- 30:3, | DISMISSED- 49:20, | 19:5 22:5 23:12 |
| DEALINGS- 10:7 | 11,16,17,18,23 | 25 50:1,17 51:10, | 26:17,20 61:7 |
| DEALT- 5:5 21:24 | 31:22,24 43:23 | 12,16 52:21 | 64:4,9,11,22,25 |
| DEBT- 49:15 50:9 | DELIVERY- 22:11, | 53:15 55:19,22 | 65:17 67:10 |
| 72:2 | 14,20,22,23 23:3 | 72:3 | 68:17,19 73:4 |
| DEBTOR- 4:5 5:4, | 31:9 | DISMISSING- 67:14 | EMAILED- 19:25 |
| 6 17:16 21:16 | DEMAND- 13:2 | DISPUTE- 65:2 | EMPLOYED- 9:7 |
| 38:10 39:12 40:5, | 53:20 | 68:5 | ENCLOSED- 14:19 |
| 10 43:18 48:20 | DENIAL- 77:2,15 | DISPUTED- 48:25 | ENTER- 57:4 77:7 |
| 49:13 50:7,9 | DENIED- 48:16 | DISPUTES- 68:2 | ENTERED- 7:12 |
| 53:21 54:2,6,9 | 78:6 | 69:4 | 13:15 21:23 |
| 55:10,17 62:6,12, | DENNIS- 4:7 | DISTILLED- 7:2 | 67:14 69:9 |
| 19,21 63:6 65:12, | DENY- 8:14 47:6 | DISTINCTION- | ENTERTAIN- 32:11 |
| 13 66:6,8,16,19 | DENYING- 76:23 | 61:22 62:5 | ENTITLED- 40:14, |
| 68:11,12 69:4,22 | 77:25 | DISTRICT- 12:3 | 16,20 46:23 62:6, |
| 70:3 71:1,20,22, | DEPOSITED- 65:14 | DOCUMENT- 11:11 | 12 69:3,14 73:19 |
| 24 73:14 74:23 | 76:18 | 21:9,21 | 74:14 |
| 76:11 | DESIGNED- 51:24 | DOCUMENTS- 19:25 | ENTITY- 19:8 |
| DEBTOR'S- 25:3 | DETAIL- 72:16 | 20:2,5 21:6,12, | 20:8,9,25 38:22 |
| 33:18 48:8 54:12 | DETERMINE- 39:11 | 19 45:14 46:24 | 47:14 49:10,15 |
| 63:15 65:5 | DIDN'T- 19:16 | 71:9 | 50:17 |
| DEBTORS- 37:11 | 22:9 23:4,19 | DOESN'T- 32:19 | ENTRY- 11:16 |
| DECEMBER- 12:11 | 36:7,14 42:16 | 33:7 34:15 39:10, | 59:12 60:8 |
| 13:7 29:4,11 | 43:1 50:7 51:14, | 20,22 41:3,18,19, | EQUALLY- 34:12 |
| 30:20 43:23 44:2, | 25 52:9,12,24 | 23,24 42:10 76:5, | 38:8 |
| 6 | 53:2 54:8 55:1 | 12 78:11 | EQUITIES- 46:15 |
| | 1 | | |

| ERRONEOUS | - 47-22 |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| ESSENTIAL | |
| 41:14 54: | |
| ESTATE- 4 | :1 9:14, |
| 15 18:24 | 19:2 |
| 20:13 22: | 12 33:6, |
| 8 35:21 3 | |
| 50:8,12 6 | 3:5 |
| ET- 62:13 | |
| EVENING- | 29:10 |
| EVENTS- 1 | 3:16 |
| 44:5 | |
| EVERYBODY | - 38:6 |
| 61:25 63: | |
| EVERYTHIN | |
| EVIDENCE- | 7:10 |
| 8:13 15:1 | 8 24:18, |
| 21 32:7 4 | 5:1 |
| 46:4 47:5 16,19 66: | 04:15, |
| EVIDENCIN | 9,10 C- 10.6 |
| EXACT- 50 | |
| EXACTLY- | |
| 54:21 56: | |
| EXAMINATI | |
| 17:12,13 | |
| 28:6 | 23.4 |
| EXAMPLE- | 50:6 |
| EXCEPT- 4 | 1:5 |
| 74:17 | |
| EXCUSE- 1 | 2:2 |
| 24:19 | |
| EXECUTED- | |
| 22:11,14, | 16 |
| 30:10 43: | 22 47:25 |
| EXECUTION EXERCISE- | - 31:9 |
| EXERCISE- | 38:1 |
| 39:15 40: | |
| 41:21 42: 48:2 | 1 43:6 |
| EXERCISED | _ 42.15 |
| EXERCISED | C- 42.13 |
| EXHIBIT- | |
| 8:6 10:13 | 24 |
| 11.4.18.2 | 3.24 |
| 11:4,18,2 12:19,24 | 13:10 |
| 18:1,5,8 | 31:14 |
| I EXHIBITS- | 6:22. |
| 24 7:6,7 | 10:10 |
| EXPECT- 6 | |
| EXPERIENC | |
| EXPLAIN- | |
| 37:5 | |
| EXPRESSED | |
| EXTENSION | |
| EXTENT- 5 | 6:8 |

| 63:15 |
|--|
| F |
| FACE- 34:3 |
| FACTORS- 42:3 |
| FACTUALLY- 37:4 |
| FAIR- 61:3 74:13 |
| 75:3,5 76:18 |
| 78:15 |
| FAIRLY- 45:25 |
| FAIRNESS- 55:23 |
| FAITH- 32:18 |
| 33:7.16.21 34:7 |
| 35:3,14 39:5,12, |
| 17,21 40:24 41:4, |
| 10,15 46:18 48:6 |
| FAR- 21:10 38:9 |
| 70:6 |
| FARGO- 4:21 57:17 |
| FASHION- 50:6 |
| 77:7 |
| FEBRUARY- 61:8 |
| 64:5 68:20 |
| FEDERAL- 58:25 |
| 60:1 |
| FEEL- 35:9 44:25 |
| FEELS- 34:4 |
| FEET- 33:19 |
| FELT- 28:1 |
| FENTON- 18:24 |
| 49:9 54:3,9 61:9, |
| 25 62:7,24 63:2 |
| 72:8 |
| FIFTH- 65:17 |
| FIGHT- 62:19,22 |
| 69:8 |
| FIGURE - 41:20,25 |
| 43:15 72:6,18 FILE- 14:21,22, |
| 25 17.6 19.25 |
| 25 17:6 18:25 19:3 21:10,25 |
| 34:23 41:9 45:6, |
| 8 69:25 72:10 |
| FILED- 7:13.14 |
| FILED- 7:13,14 13:25 14:17 |
| 15:10,21 16:1 |
| 17:5 19:2,8,10, |
| 14,24 20:9,11,16, |
| 22 22:1 24:9 |
| 26:15 27:16 31:1, 11 32:16 33:5 38:7 45:17 47:14 |
| 11 32:16 33:5 |
| 38:7 45:17 47:14 |
| 49:8,12 51:6,11, |
| 15 52:10 53:9,16 54:10 71:3,24 |
| 75:21 |
| |

FILES- 20:25 FILING- 14:4 16:16,20 21:3,4 32:19 33:7,16,21, 24 34:2,7,8,10 35:3,14,15,16 39:5,17 40:24 41:1,15 42:5 47:13 48:6,14 50:16 52:1,21 54:17 55:1 69:18 75:17 FILINGS- 38:4 39:21 FINAL- 24:23 57:6 59:18 60:25 FINALLY- 12:24 FINANCE- 4:22 FIND- 15:25 35:3, 13,23 54:22 FINDING- 39:12 FINE- 52:24 62:22 66:11 76:15 78:10 FIRE- 33:19 FIRM- 4:21 9:8, 23 13:3,21 28:18 FIRM'S- 64:25 FIRST- 4:8 6:4, 13 8:1,24 9:18, 19 10:2 11:9,13 12:3 13:19 19:22 26:2 31:9 32:18 49:24 61:16 62:5 63:1 69:4 70:5 73:11 74:20 75:1 77:16,25 78:9 FIVE- 15:24 72:19 74:8 77:13 FIX- 36:22 76:21 FLAW- 59:21 FOCUS- 9:13 13:19 35:21 40:25 FOLLOW- 20:14 FOLLOWED- 12:2 64:10 FORCES- 27:1,3,8, 11,13,22 28:12 FORECLOSE- 8:12 10:5,8 11:13 12:17 13:24 19:7 36:3 38:16 46:9 FORECLOSED- 8:11 19:17 24:10 34:12 37:15 FORECLOSING~

20:19,23 24:12 47:13 FORECLOSURE-9:19,23 10:18 12:6 15:6,18 16:24 17:18,22 19:4 20:11 22:4 23:7,15 24:4,11 27:8,24 28:24,25 32:14 37:18 38:4 40:6,7 46:7,22 57:9,11 FORECLOSURES-14:6 21:25 FOREGOING- 79:6 FORGOTTEN- 36:10 FORM- 18:25 48:18,25 49:2,3, 18 74:19 FORMAN- 4:10 FORMS- 35:6 FORWARD- 8:20 22:10 24:25 28:2 34:3 45:19 49:15 FOUND- 40:2 FOUR- 7:2 65:16 77:14 FRAME- 34:19 36:17 38:14 FRANK- 4:18 FRANKE- 4:17,18 5:17,19,25 77:11 FRANKLY- 37:19, 22 40:3 47:22 48:10 50:11 52:24 55:17 67:3 68:5 69:14 74:1 76:24 FRE- 4:1,5 5:14 8:10 9:15 13:25 14:1,4,18 19:2,8, 9 20:11,22 23:23 24:9 25:10,14,20 26:15 27:4,16 28:16 30:12,24 48:15 50:8 54:9 FRE'S- 8:10 20:13 FREQUENTLY- 34:22 FRIDAY- 5:5,15 6:8 29:4 30:19 31:7 FRONT- 14:21 19:1 FULL- 25:8 45:10 FULLY- 67:13 FUNDS- 54:25 55:14 63:12,14

| 19 21: 7 23: 17 | 44:13 45:20 50:11 55:6,11,12 62:19 64:13 65:1, 14 66:14 67:18 68:16,19,21 69:3, 8 70:19 72:16,18 75:18 77:6,11,15, 17 GETS- 74:20 GIVE- 35:3 39:2, 25 50:6 54:6 55:7,24 62:1 64:5 65:1 66:19 67:3 73:22,25 GIVEN- 14:4 15:18 21:5,9,12 23:16 34:1 36:20 40:4 46:22 47:5 74:1 75:11 GLOBAL- 5:5 GO- 22:10 34:24 37:24 38:2,8 | GOVERNS- 59:1 GRAND- 74:8 GRANT- 46:11 48:12 GRANTED- 5:11 6:9 78:6 GRANTEE- 24:3 GRANTEE'S- 24:3 GRANTHAM- 8:19, 20,24 9:4,9 17:15 25:22 26:4, 14,20 27:14 43:1 45:12 GRANTHAM'S- 26:2 35:21 GRANTS- 59:5 GROUND- 16:4 42:22 GUESS- 8:15 22:6, 10 24:13 45:16 56:19 70:7,25 | HCM- 4:10 61:13, 19 63:3,4 65:14 HE'S- 5:18 6:6 45:16 46:23 51:1, 12 53:7,8,10,14, 19,20 56:21,23 69:2,14 73:6,19 74:13 HEAR- 9:18 37:7 41:2 53:18 HEARD- 9:19 43:21 HEARING- 6:22 59:3 65:1 68:25 78:20 HEARINGS- 59:2 60:17 HEARTED- 38:13 HECK- 74:20 HELP- 6:17 45:4 74:4 HELPFUL- 33:1 HERE'S- 14:15 | 57:22 58:1 INAPPROPRIATE— 34:12 67:18 INC— 9:15 11:10 18:24 19:2 25:13 79:12 INCORRECT— 40:11 IN—COUNTY— 14:7 INDEPENDENT— 21:13 47:3 INDICATED— 27:23 49:5 50:25 58:8 69:4 INEFFECTIVE— 44:16 INFORMATION— 27:23 73:5,6,16, 17,25 INFORMING— 13:23 14:8 INITIALLY— 63:21 INQUIRY— 47:2,3 |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| 37:24 38:2,8 39:18 45:15 GUESSING- 40:5 HERRING- 33:15 INQUIRY- 47:2,3 INSTANCE- 39:17 | | GUESSING- 40:5 | 64:11 75:5 | |

| INSTEAD- 38:1 66:3 INSTRUCT- 77:5 INSTRUCTED- 30:13 INTEND- 57:7 INTENTIONAL- 48:4 INTENTIONALLY- 62:17 INTEREST- 51:7,8, 17 53:9,16 56:23 INTERESTINGLY- 61:19 INTERIM- 62:6,12, 20 67:7 INTERPRETS- 52:24 67:20 IORI- 7:15 10:6, 8 11:9 12:7 13:3 15:20 18:23 19:7 25:13,18 36:13 44:11 IRRELEVANT- 32:23 ISN'T- 70:11 76:4 ISSUE- 49:17 50:22 56:7 57:18 69:12,24 73:24 ISSUED- 10:17,21 12:3 75:11 ISSUES- 5:6 6:14, 17 8:8 22:18 36:15 IT'S- 5:10 6:12 10:17 11:2,8,21 12:2 13:2,13 18:4 22:1,2,19 32:14 33:5 35:4 37:4,9 38:11,12, 14 39:18 42:19 | 13:8,15 17:18,24 25:22 26:24 27:9 28:25 29:3 31:1 36:17 54:22 63:5 JAY- 18:14 24:24 25:3,9 JO- 4:15 JOHN- 4:4 17:15 19:3 JOINED- 6:23 JUDGE- 5:15 43:13 58:8,20 59:14 63:23 JUDGE'S- 21:22 59:20 JUDICIAL- 7:9 JUNIOR- 19:3 JURISDICTION- 67:16,21 68:2 75:25 JUSTIFY- 48:10 K KGL- 4:21 KIDDING- 37:6 38:7 KIENER- 63:23 KINDS- 71:25 KINVIG- 4:12,13 5:2,3 KNOWING- 42:23 75:2 KNOWLEDGE- 45:13 47:12,16 73:13 KNOWS- 37:13 74:21 KRAUN- 55:6 68:16,21 70:18,10 20:21-21.16 18 | 19:15 21:7 26:7 32:21 35:23 44:4 60:18 75:20 LATTER- 62:21 LAWYER- 35:21 LAWYERS- 43:12,15 LEAVES- 6:11 LEFT- 51:14 74:18 LEG- 41:4 LEGITIMATE- 44:7 LENDER- 11:9 54:8 LENDERS- 55:19 63:5 LENGTHY- 54:1 LEONARD- 4:10 LET'S- 48:18 66:15 69:8 LETTER- 13:2,6 50:24 LEWIS- 19:3 LICENSED- 9:10 LIFT- 34:24 LIGHT- 45:25 LINE- 64:12 65:18 LIST- 6:22 LITERALLY- 15:24 38:3 LITIGATE- 69:11 LITIGATION- 71:21 72:4 LO- 64:13 LOAN- 71:9 LOCKBOX- 54:11, 14,16,19,25 55:2 56:8 69:20 71:10 | LOT- 23:1 71:16, 19 LOTS- 22:18 45:7 LP- 4:11 61:13 63:3,4 65:14 LP'S- 61:19 LUBBOCK- 9:6 10:2 M MAIL- 74:11 MAILED- 49:23 MAKING- 55:24 MAN- 42:22 MAN'S- 36:11 MANIPULATIVE- 44:24 MANY- 5:6 21:5 34:6 36:12 43:13 MARCH- 57:11 79:11 MARK- 6:5 14:21, 23,25 18:25 19:3 MARKED- 18:1 26:19 MARKS- 21:11 MATH- 66:3 MATTER- 32:19 33:7 59:15 79:8 MATTERS- 4:1 60:1,3,16 68:3 69:13 MEANS- 65:6 MEANT- 31:8 MEANTIME- 15:13 16:2 MECHANISM- 50:5 MEISEL- 4:10 MEMORANDUM- |
|---|---|--|---|
| 17 8:8 22:18 36:15 IT'S- 5:10 6:12 10:17 11:2,8,21 12:2 13:2,13 18:4 22:1,2,19 32:14 33:5 35:4 37:4,9 38:11,12, 14 39:18 42:19 43:12,25 47:12, 15 49:2,20 51:4 | 5:2,3 KNOWING- 42:23 75:2 KNOWLEDGE- 45:13 47:12,16 73:13 KNOWS- 37:13 74:21 KRAUN- 55:6 | 38:3 LITIGATE- 69:11 LITIGATION- 71:21 72:4 LO- 64:13 LOAN- 71:9 LOCKBOX- 54:11, | 60:1,3,16 68:3 69:13 MEANS- 65:6 MEANT- 31:8 MEANTIME- 15:13 16:2 MECHANISM- 50:5 MEISEL- 4:10 |
| 52:16 53:13 56:9, 14,16 57:8,11,24 58:15,17 59:3,11, 17,18 60:25 61:5 62:11 63:21 64:16,18 65:15, 17 67:10,11 69:19 72:15,21, 25 73:3,12 74:2, 11,12,14,23,25 78:6 ITEM- 65:18 ITEMS- 64:12 | LAJONE - 14:15 16:13 17:2,10 18:14 19:20 23:16 24:24,25 25:3,6,9 28:8 32:4,6 35:24 LANGUAGE - 36:24 48:25 49:4 50:24 51:1,3,9,24 53:8 57:14,20 67:17 LARGER - 67:19 LARGEST - 27:7 LASTS - 41:13 LATE - 5:5 57:9,11 LATER - 16:19 | LONG- 5:4 9:10 21:2 36:2 71:22 78:8 LONGER- 59:25 LOOK- 10:13 11:4, 23 12:24 22:25 34:3 35:2 43:20 44:5 48:2 49:23 50:23 53:17 55:15 61:23 63:23 LOOKED- 14:20 LOOKING- 15:20, 25 40:24 58:13, 14 60:14 77:13 78:9 | MICHAEL- 4:9 61:12 MILLION- 72:1 MINE- 53:20 MINIMUM- 54:23 MODIFICATION- 11:8 MONDAY- 29:3 31:7 MONEY- 54:7,8 55:24 56:1 61:16 64:2 65:24 68:13 69:19 70:10,22 71:5,23,25 72:18 74:2,24 MONIES- 70:2 |

| MONTH- 21:8 37:6 44:13 MONTHLY- 68:18 MORGAN- 30:15,16, 23,24 31:24 36:11 MORNING- 4:2,4,7, 9,12,15,18,20 6:6 17:15 25:6,7 26:16,21,23 27:11,17 31:12 40:4 43:21 44:5 55:6 61:12 73:17 76:3 78:1 MORNINGS- 14:7 MOTION- 5:11 6:9, 12 7:6,10 8:6,8, 15 18:4 34:24 43:22 46:11 47:7 48:12,15 50:14 77:2,3,16,25 78:8 MOTIONS- 45:17 46:14 58:2 MOVANT'S- 18:1 26:19 MOVANT'S- 18:1 26:19 MOVANTS- 77:10 MOVE- 18:7 MOVING- 36:12 60:4 MUCH- 19:15 24:20 33:23 45:9 54:18 64:2 65:10, 11 66:7 78:17 MULTIPLE- 78:19 NAMED- 19:8 NECESSARILY- 73:11 NECESSARY- 23:4 51:4 NEEDED- 47:19 NEITHER- 14:25 NELIGAN- 6:22 NEVADA- 11:10 NEVER- 44:22 45:6 NEVERTHELESS- 34:2 70:14 NEW- 31:4 38:9 NIGHT- 29:17 30:18 NOON- 13:20 26:3 NOR- 59:22 NORMALLY- 50:11 NORTH- 51:9 | NOTARY- 43:23 44:1 NOTED- 56:24 NOTES- 57:25 58:15 60:9,11 NOTICE- 7:10 8:9 13:2,19,20 34:1, 3 37:10 39:3,25 40:4,7,10 46:5,6, 18,21,25 47:2 48:13,14 50:16 51:2,6,11 52:10 53:10,16 75:21 NOTIFIED- 42:24 NOTIFY- 14:14 16:13 NOTWITHSTANDING- 66:1,2 NULLITY- 39:9,18 45:16 NUMBERING- 7:5 NUMBERS- 65:5 OODJECTION- 10:25 11:19 12:20 13:11 18:9 OBSERVE- 47:1 OBSERVED- 70:20 OBTAINED- 32:15 OBVIOUS- 61:22 62:5 OBVIOUSLY- 42:18 70:10 72:4 73:13 OCCUR- 21:21 OCCURRED- 21:8 40:8 OFFERS- 44:9 68:24 OFFERD- 47:21 OFFICE- 5:20 14:5,11 19:19 28:17 30:11 31:4, 5,19,24 64:10 77:12,17 OFFICIAL- 18:25 OIL- 9:14 OLD- 43:14 OLSON- 4:6,7 6:20,21 7:2,5,9, 19,21,22,24 8:1, 4,7,19 9:2 10:23 11:3,18,22 12:19, | 17:11 18:9 24:17, 21 28:4,7 29:22, 23 32:2,9,13,24 33:4,10,12,14,17, 20,22 34:14,16, 22 35:6,13 36:5, 8,10,17,23 37:2, 7,9,12,16,20,25 38:5,11,17,19,24 39:1,6,8,13,16, 22,24 40:12,16, 19 41:3,7,12,16, 18,23 42:3,7,9, 13,17,19 43:1,5, 8,9,17 44:1,4,10, 13,17,20,23 45:3, 6,23 46:2 47:9 77:19,24 78:3,5, 8,13,16 OLSON'S- 6:11 8:9,15 18:4 46:11,21 47:7 76:23 ONE- 5:6 7:22 14:25 17:20 21:17 23:18 24:23 35:6 36:13 42:3 43:19 47:12 48:5,6 49:1,22 55:3 62:2,11,15 65:16 66:14 77:14 78:6 ONES- 17:21 49:20 ONE-WORD- 56:9 OPEN- 7:12 65:12 OPENING- 8:2,5,16 OPERATING- 68:18 OPINION- 20:6 58:10 59:21 OPERATING- 68:18 OPINION- 20:6 58:10 59:21 OPERATING- 68:18 OPINION- 20:6 58:10 59:21 OPPORTUNITY- 56:17 OPT- 45:15 ORAL- 46:25 ORDER- 4:22 5:8, 10,18 6:9,14,18 10:17,20 12:2,9 21:5 24:13 48:18, 21,25 49:1,23,4, 18,24 51:5,10 53:2,8,17 54:6 56:22,25 57:1,5, 6,14,19,22 59:10, 18,22,23 60:8,21, 24 25 61:20 25 | 62:1,6,12,20,24 63:16,18 66:16, 18 67:13,17,20 68:1 69:3,5,8,10, 15,21 72:25 73:20 74:7 75:6, 9 76:6,7,9,23,25 77:6,7,17,21,24, 25 ORDERED- 49:24 67:25 ORDERS- 21:22 60:2,5,7 61:23 62:2,3 76:24 77:10,14 ORIGINAL- 23:22 30:12 ORIGINALS- 30:21, 22,23 OTHERWISE- 55:1 57:21 OURS- 31:25 OUT- 14:6 OVERRIDE- 43:19 OWN- 15:13 54:9 65:8 71:1 74:24 75:1 OWNED- 14:17 OWNERS- 27:15 PACER- 19:13,16 PAID- 55:11 72:1 PARENTHETICAL- 69:5 PARKWAY- 51:8 PARTICULAR- 10:20 17:20 27:15 51:7 PARTICULARLY- 7:11 PARTIES- 4:3 5:9 23:25 24:1,3 32:8,25 33:2,3, 13,18 48:9 50:16 51:13 53:22 54:24 61:18 63:25 70:2 71:21 72:3,6 PARTY- 22:24 56:23 PASSED- 23:1 PAUSE- 18:22 |
|---|---|---|--|
| | 19,21,22,24 8:1, | 6,14,19,22 59:10, | PASSED- 23:1 |

| 72:7 | 68:10 69:2 70:2 | PROCEDURAL- | PURSUANT- 66:18 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| PAYMENT- 61:8 | 73:19 | 49:14 50:5 | 69:21 |
| PENDING- 50:8 | POSSESSION- 52:6 | PROCEDURE - 58:25 | PUTS- 61:18 |
| PEOPLE- 14:14 | 54:12 55:10 | 60:1 75:11 | |
| 21:24 32:15 36:2 | POSSIBILITY- 49:6 | PROCEED- 6:13 | Q |
| 69:17 | POST- 51:19 | 7:24 8:16 22:4 | QUARREL- 40:21 |
| PERCEIVE- 35:1 | 52:13,16 53:12 | 47:12,16,22 48:5 | QUARRELING- |
| PERFECT- 51:6,14, | 57:9,10,11 | PROCEEDED- 8:12 | 33:14 34:16 40:12 |
| 17 52:16 | POSTING- 13:8 | 46:9 | QUESTION'S- 22:17 |
| PERFECTED- 51:19 | 36:23 | PROCEEDINGS- | QUESTIONS- 46:1 |
| 52:1,11 53:8,16 | PRACTICAL- 66:15 | 59:1 79:7 | 71:13 |
| PERFECTION- 52:1 | PRACTICE- 9:10, | PROCESS- 52:18 | QUICK- 58:7 66:3 |
| PERFECTLY- 78:10 | 13 32:14 | PROOF- 16:16,20 | |
| PERHAPS- 57:10 | PRE- 52:5 | 21:3,4 | R |
| 68:20 | PRECEDENT- 34:12 | PROPER- 22:24 | RAISE- 8:22 25:1 |
| PERIOD- 37:11 | 41:3 43:6 46:13 | PROPERLY- 20:25 | RAISED- 46:2 |
| 57:5 58:1 59:24 | 47:6 | 24:6 | RATHER- 15:16 |
| 61:5 69:15 70:11 | PREEXISTED- 54:16 | PROPERTIES- | 22:15 50:4 54:1 |
| 73:20 | PREJUDICE- 49:4, | 25:16,19 36:12 | 69:7 |
| PERIODIC- 70:8,9 | 21 50:2 52:17 | 48:7 49:7,13 | RATIONALE- 43:5 |
| PERMISSION- 65:3 | PREPAID- 50:25 | 50:17 | REACHED- 5:4,15, |
| PERMIT- 49:14 | PREPARE- 17:5 | PROPERTY- 7:16 | 16 6:7 |
| PERSON- 70:21 | 28:15 34:23 | 9:24 10:4,8,18 | READING- 59:13, 19 67:15 |
| PERSONAL- 17:21 | PREPARED- 13:3 | 11:14 12:8,17 | READS- 41:5 68:1 |
| PERSONALLY- 42:18 | 17:8 24:21 28:20, | 13:24 14:17 15:9, | REAGAN- 35:20 |
| PERSPECTIVE- | 22,23 29:5 45:16 | 17,22 17:22 19:6, | REALITY- 69:16 |
| 47:15,18 66:24 | 68:17 73:11 | 7 20:8,10,12,19, | 72:22 |
| 73:16 | PREPETITION- | 21,24 21:21 22:8, | 72:22 REALIZE- 39:18 |
| PERSUADED- 33:18 | 61:18 62:18 | 9,15,21,22 23:2, 23 24:9,12 25:19 | REALIZE- 39:16 REASON- 37:21 |
| PETITION- 14:21, | 63:25 66:2 71:23 | 26:15 27:4,7,8, | 57:10 70:7,21 |
| 24 19:2,8 27:16, | PRESENT- 24:18 | 14,15 32:16 33:5, | 71:13 76:10 |
| 21 31:11 38:20 | 69:13 | 8 34:1,11 36:21 | RECEIPT- 41:15 |
| 47:19,24 51:19 | PRESENTLY- 57:20 | 38:21 44:11 46:6 | RECEIVE- 18:16, |
| 52:13,17 53:12 | PRESERVE- 75:1 | 48:14 49:15 50:9, | 18 21:18,20 |
| 65:14 | PRESUMPTION- | 12 51:7 57:9 | RECEIVED- 7:10 |
| PETRA- 4:16 | 41:10 | 62:7 63:3,5 67:7 | 13:21 14:8 19:5 |
| PHONE- 13:21 | PREVENT- 20:18 | PROPERTY'S- 19:23 | 21:17 22:5 23:1, |
| 15:19 68:21 72:16 | 24:4,11 | PROPOSE- 63:10 | 12 44:14 46:21, |
| PICKED- 60:9 | PREVENTED- 20:23 | PROPOSED- 5:8,9 | 24 48:22 61:7 |
| PICTURE- 35:1,9 | 28:1 | 67:17 68:1 | RECESS- 68:20 |
| 45:18 | PREVIOUS- 10:7 | PROVES- 14:9 15:9 | RECOGNIZE- 11:5 |
| PLACE- 34:18 | PRINCIPLE- 40:9 | PROVIDE- 6:23 | 63:13 |
| PLAINTIFF'S- | 47:10 | 59:25 62:13 70:9 | RECOGNIZED- |
| 59:21 | PRIOR- 6:14 8:11 | PROVIDED- 47:24, | 53:17 61:17 63:12 |
| PLAY- 21:1 | 19:4,16 23:5 | 25 | RECOGNIZING- 64:4 |
| PLEADING- 69:25 | 24:9 25:10 26:8, | PROVIDES- 58:25 | RECONSTITUTED- |
| PLOT- 45:19 | 10,12,17 27:6 28:24 33:24 34:7 | PROVING- 14:16 | 50:18 |
| PM- 17:24 19:4 | 36:2 44:6 46:6, | PROVISION- 56:25 | RECORDED- 16:22, |
| 26:8,10,12,17 | 22 51:25 52:20 | 59:4 76:1,11 | 23,25 17:5 23:20, |
| PODIUM- 56:17 | 76:24 77:6 | PULL- 22:3 35:25 | 24 24:7 28:13 |
| POLICY- 38:8 | PROBABLY- 37:24 | 37:2 | 31:15 32:1,21 |
| POOR- 38:8 | 46:20 | PULLED- 21:6 | 36:1 44:8 |
| PORTION- 27:7 | PROBLEM- 36:1 | 37:3,23 | RECORDER'S- 31:4, |
| POSITION- 44:10 | 38:3,12 40:9 | PURPORTED- 20:9 | 5 |
| 51:13 54:4,24 | 52:15 | PURPOSES- 63:9/ | RECORDING- 22:16 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | |

| (|) | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | T | V-70-00 | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 31:6,16 32:22 | RESIDE- 9:5 | 40:7 42:23 44:8 | SHORTLY- 14:10 |
| 33:1 79:7 | RESOLVED- 11:14 | 45:14 46:7 | 26:3 |
| RECORDS- 19:13,16 | RESPECT- 47:21 | SALES- 21:6 31:15 | SHOULDN'T- 35:14 |
| RED- 33:15 78:10, | 52:13 57:19 58:1 | SANCTION- 46:12 | 40:24 54:23,24 |
| 11 | 75:23 | SATISFIED- 32:21 | 55:1 66:22 71:23 |
| REDIRECT- 24:16, | | SATURDAY- 29:4 | SHOWED- 55:8 |
| 17 | RESPOND- 56:13 | l | SHOWED- 55.8 SHOWING- 15:20 |
| REDLINE - 48:25 | RESPONSE- 7:13 | SCHEDULED- 17:18 | 21:20 |
| 78:8 | RESTORE- 63:24 | 19:5,7 20:10 | |
| REFERENCES- 59:1 | 69:17 70:1 74:15 | 24:10 46:7 | SHOWS- 8:9 19:23 |
| | RESTORED68:10 | SCHEDULES- 54:20 | SIGN- 5:12 60:23 |
| 60:12 | RESTRAIN- 12:5 | 55:15 | 76:9,24 |
| REFERRING- 25:18 | RESTRAINING- | SCHOTZ- 4:10 | SIGNATURE- 13:4 |
| REFERS- 58:23 | 10:17,20 12:2,9 | SECOND- 12:2,6, | SIGNED- 14:24,25 |
| REFLECT- 61:4 | 21:4 | 16 53:25 58:21 | 19:3,9 21:22 |
| 65:6 68:6 | RESTRAINS- 12:6 | 62:11 65:18 67:25 | 22:22 29:8,9,24, |
| REGIONS- 4:19 | RESULT- 54:25 | SECRET- 43:15 | 25 30:1,6 33:1,9 |
| 5:16,19 | 60:2 74:12 | SECRETARY- 14:5 | 36:20 38:20,21 |
| RELATIVELY- 70:11 | RETAIN- 75:25 | 26:3,9,13,14 | 44:1 77:6 |
| RELIEF- 35:4,6 | RETAINS- 67:16 | SECTIONS- 60:15 | SIGNIFICANT- 48:8 |
| 40:13 55:21,23 | RETENTION- 67:20 | SECURED- 33:18 | signing- 76:25 |
| 56:22 57:2 62:7 | RETURN- 53:21 | 39:5 40:6 63:3 | SIMILAR- 48:7 |
| 69:15 73:20,22 | 54:2 55:18 | SECURING- 63:3 | SIMILARLY- 5:21, |
| 76:23 | RETURNED- 55:2 | SECURITY- 51:17 | 25 |
| RELY- 21:16 | 61:16 75:4 | 53:9,16 | SIMPLE- 6:9 |
| REMAINED- 63:14 | REVISED- 77:16 | SEE- 45:18 55:15 | 36:22 46:4 56:14 |
| REMARKS- 46:3 | RIFE- 22:17 | 60:1 63:17 76:17 | 65:15 |
| 49:5 | ROAD- 14:6 34:24 | 77:24 | SIMPLIFY- 6:17 |
| REMOVED- 49:4 | 39:19 | SEEN- 6:21 13:17 | SIMPLY- 5:10 |
| RENEWAL- 11:8 | ROBERTS- 4:5 | 40:4 45:6,7 49:19 | 46:15,22 47:16 |
| RENT- 53:9 | 5:14,22,24 6:3 | SEIZE - 52:5 | 48:1 52:16 |
| RENTS- 51:7 52:5, | ROLE- 25:10 | SELF-HELP- 38:2 | SIMULTANEOUSLY- |
| 7,11,17 53:13,20, | RONALD- 35:20 | 39:10,15 42:15 | 77:3 |
| 21 66:1 67:6 69:7 | ROUTINELY- 21:18 | SENATOR- 42:19 | SINISTER- 34:20 |
| REPORTER- 8:23 | RULE- 57:25 | SENT - 15:7 20:3 | 45:19 |
| REPORTS- 68:18 | 58:14,16,24,25 | 26:20 50:24 64:4, | SIT- 8:22 43:11 |
| REPRESENTATIVE- | 59:4,24,25 60:1, | 22 68:16,19 | SITTING- 15:19 |
| 30:12 66:14 | 4,5,6,12 | 71:12 73:4 | 69:20 |
| REPRESENTED- | RULED- 49:6 54:5 | SEPARATE- 10:9 | SITUATION- 46:21 |
| 35:24 | RULES- 57:6 | 49:8 69:5,9 | 61:19 |
| REQUEST- 21:3 | 59:25 61:5 | SEPTEMBER- 11:12 | SKIN - 36:9 |
| 47:6 48:24 70:7 | RULING- 46:14 | SEQUENCE- 15:5 | SKIP- 8:2 |
| 72:22 75:20,23 | 50:19 | SET- 10:10 41:3 | SLIGHTLY- 29:7 |
| 76:2,18,23 | RUN- 57:5 72:5 | 46:12 | SORTED- 67:8 |
| REQUESTED- 48:22 | RUSH- 36:12 | SETTLEMENT- 6:7 | SOUND- 79:7 |
| 50:24 54:1 57:1,2 | · | SEVERAL- 27:20 | SOUNDS- 77:19 |
| REQUESTING- 45:14 | S | 30:1 46:17 72:1 | SOURCE- 73:18 |
| REQUIRE- 41:18, | SAKONCHICK- | SEYMOUR- 4:5 5:14 | SPEAKERS- 78:19 |
| 19,23,24 47:1 | 50:24,25 | SHALL- 35:3 | SPECIFIC- 59:4 |
| 52:22 66:19 72:22 | SAKONCHICK'S- | 49:25 50:1 57:22 | 60:3,4,7,19 |
| REQUIRED- 57:5 | 75:20 | 68:1 75:24 76:12 | SPECIFICALLY- |
| 70:3 | SALCIDO- 77:4 | SHELLEY- 18:25 | 69:25 |
| REQUIREMENT- | SALE- 13:8 16:2, | 30:8,9 | SPECULATING- |
| 41:5,8 | 4,8,12,13,24,25 | SHENANIGANS- | 44:21 |
| REQUIRES- 38:25 | 22:15 23:15 24:5 | 33:23 | SPEND- 68:14 |
| RESEARCH- 57:23 | 32:15,19 35:16, | SHOOT- 21:25 | SPENDING- 74:24 |
| 58:8 | 25 36:24 37:2,3 | | |
| | * | | |

68:13 74:24,25 16:15 24:3 48:9 21:2,9,17 23:12, TRUSTEE'S- 17:6,8 13 24:10 26:1 **SPOKEN- 28:9** 51:11 TUESDAY- 28:24, 28:11 29:7,24 25 31:8 38:4 SQUABBLES- 48:17 SUBSEQUENTLY-31:17 34:19 TUNE- 72:1 20:11 26:3 STAND- 35:16 SUBSTANCE- 5:9 36:17 37:3 38:14 TURN- 54:15 66:12 43:9,11 45:21 SUFFICIENT- 8:7, 62:23 72:22 STANDING- 40:10 46:7 48:5 54:7 13 47:1 TURNED- 54:14,19 **START**- 5:2,3 55:12,16,18 57:5 SUNDAY- 29:4 45:17 50:7,18 66:5 68:4 69:22 59:5 60:17 61:10 SUPPLIED- 60:3 70:3 54:8 63:20 70:11 71:18,19 **SUPPLY-** 60:7 TURNOVER- 64:3 **STARTED- 49:15** 76:4 77:1 50:10 74:15,16,24 **SUPPORT-** 46:15 66:16 TIMELY- 75:16 TURNS- 37:4 67:18 STARTLING- 70:16 SUPPOSED- 39:14 TIMES- 10:9 21:5 STATE- 6:5 9:3, SUPPOSEDLY- 71:10 TWICE- 37:23 43:13 TWO- 10:9 23:25 11 25:8 51:15,25 SURPRISING- 70:12 TITLE- 15:17,18 52:21 53:19 24:3 35:19 37:18 **SUSPECT- 42:13** 23:17 31:5,7,8 71:21 72:4 77:16, 40:24 60:3 62:2 **SWEET-** 5:23,25 62:9 25 65:16 **SWEPT-** 71:25 TODAY- 17:22 STATEMENT- 8:2 SWORN- 8:21,23, 25:18 28:8 69:11 Ŭ 55:7 24 25:2,3 74:2 76:9 UNAVAILABILITY-**STATUS-** 61:18 **SYNDROME**- 38:10 TODAY'S- 32:13 78:13 63:25 TOOK- 34:18 **UNAWARE-** 15:16 STAY- 5:7,11 6:1, Т 52:16 71:23 UNCERTAINTY-8 20:15,22 21:1 TELEPHONE- 25:24 TOTAL- 77:14 36:22 28:1 34:13,24 **TELLING- 14:15** TRACK- 29:11 UNCONDITIONALLY-37:8 39:20,25 66:6,8 36:12 63:4 40:3 41:11,15 TELLS- 73:14 TRACTS- 27:20 UNDERSTANDING-46:10,16 47:1,11, TEMPORARY- 10:17, TRANSCRIPT- 79:6 25 48:4,13 58:1 50:19 55:9 56:3 20 21:4 TRANSCRIPTS-UNDERSTOOD- 42:25 59:22,23 60:3,5, TEN- 14:12 50:7 7,17,19 76:23,24 79:12 UNDERTAKE- 47:2 60:18 61:4 77:6 TRANSFER- 15:16 UNDISPUTED- 69:19 TEN-DAY- 57:25 **STAYED-** 32:20 20:10 34:1 38:9 UNFILED- 20:3,5 TERM- 63:20 50:7 65:20 76:12 STAYING- 63:1 UNITED- 18:25 TERMS- 50:6 55:13 TRANSFEROR-STAYS- 57:21 UNLESS- 59:3 TERRIBLY- 51:3 25:11 30:9 31:20 59:1 60:2 77:14 UNPERFECTED-TERRILL- 9:8 TRANSFERRED-**STEAD-** 6:7 52:20 TERRY- 8:19,24 STEP- 24:20 32:4 15:9 19:23 32:16 UNRECORDED- 20:7 9:4 34:11 38:22 **STEVEN-** 18:24 UNUSUAL- 32:14 TESTIMONY- 43:21 47:14 48:15 30:8 UPDATES- 70:8,9 44:5,6 47:20 63:12 65:25 STIPULATION-UPLOAD- 5:20 70:18 TRANSFERRING-7:11,14 8:6,9 76:9 77:3 TEXAS- 9:6,11 76:13 13:16,17 **UPLOADED-** 5:7,17, THEREFORE- 48:15 TRANSFERS- 28:15 STOLE- 70:22 18 6:8,22 77:10 52:11 53:2,10,19 48:7 STOP- 10:17,18 **UPSET-** 15:16 THIRD- 33:2 TRIED- 54:5 16:7 38:23 42:15 USED- 35:20 37:18 47:14 TRIP- 50:25 45:15,20 48:10 63:14,15 THOUSANDS- 38:3 **TRO-** 32:16 **STOPPED-** 12:16 64:6 66:17 67:6 THREE- 40:6 TROS- 36:2 37:18, STOPS- 48:1 USES- 63:20 61:14 65:16 77:9 STRAIGHTFORWARD-19 THURSDAY- 28:24 TROUBLED- 33:25 46:4 29:9,17,20 30:2, TROUBLING- 35:17 VALID- 40:6 65:5, STRANGE- 50:11 18 31:6 TRULY- 32:19 STROMBERG'S- 6:6 THUS- 60:7 33:6,14 VARIANCE- 56:3 STRUGGLE- 37:14 TIL- 14:12 TRUST- 4:8 10:2 VERIFICATION-SUBMITTED- 31:16 TIME- 8:11 17:18 11:9 12:4 35:20 45:20 47:18 48:21 57:20 18:18 19:4,16,22, 73:6 **VERIFY- 22:7,8** SUBSEQUENT-

| | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| 35:21 37:3 38:15 | 76:13 | WRONGS- 40:24 | i |
| 43:2 45:14 47:17 | WEATHERFORD- 4:8 | | |
| 56:5 | 10:3 12:4 | Y | |
| VERSION- 58:14, | - | YEAR- 9:21 12:12, | |
| 18 60:13 | WEDNESDAY- 28:24 | 14 41:9 | |
| VERSUS- 54:18 | WEEK- 31:22 44:4 | YEAR'S- 31:4 | |
| | 55:7 70:15 73:5 | ľ | |
| VIA- 66:8 | WEEK'S- 73:25 | YEARS- 21:24 | |
| VIOLATED- 40:3 | WEEKEND- 48:21 | YOU'D- 8:20,22 | |
| 66:2 | 49:23 | 24:25 50:23 | |
| VIOLATION- 46:10 | WEEKS- 44:7 | YOU'LL- 49:23 | 1 |
| 48:4 | WEITMAN- 4:20,21, | 56:1 63:13,17 | |
| VIRTUE- 67:17 | 25 54:4 56:25 | YOU'RE- 8:21 | |
| VIS-A-VIS- 33:2 | 57:16 58:4,7,12, | 15:20,25 21:12, | |
| VOLUME - 32:14 | 15,17,19,21,23 | 13 29:5 38:15 | |
| VOLUNTARY- 19:2 | 59:7,11,16 60:12, | 40:18 43:9 44:19, | |
| I . | | 21 45:4,5,13,14 | |
| 22:23 27:16 | 15,22,25 61:3 | 47:13 59:9 60:23, | |
| W | WEITMAN'S- 76:2 | 24 72:10 75:13 | |
| WAIT- 55:20 | WELLS- 4:21 57:16 | 77:11 78:12 | |
| I. | WEREN'T- 30:17 | //.11 /0:12 | |
| WALKING- 44:24 | 31:14 | | |
| WANTS- 5:1 34:3 | WEST- 58:4 60:13 | | |
| 51:19 53:4 54:2 | WHERE'S- 35:22 | | 1 |
| 57:10 61:23 | WHEREAS- 62:11 | | |
| 69:15 70:18 | WHEREUPON- 78:20 | | |
| 72:17,20 73:3,20 | WHOLE- 69:19 | | |
| 76:11 | | | |
| WARNER- 4:9,10 | 70:10 | | |
| 54:1,2 55:5,7 | WIDE-RANGING- | | |
| 56:6,9,13,16 | 46:3 | | |
| 61:12,13,22 62:5, | WILL- 5:3,4,20 | | |
| 9,11,15 63:1,8, | 18:1 26:19 40:24 | | |
| 20 64:9,17,20 | 45:25 46:11 | | |
| 65:20,22,24 66:7, | 48:12,15 60:5 | | |
| | 63:11 64:7 65:3 | | |
| 8,11,15,21,23,25 | 75:4,23 76:7,9, | | |
| 67:12,23,25 68:9, | 17 78:6 | | |
| 21,22,25 70:23 | WILLFUL- 22:24 | | |
| 71:8,12 72:17 | 46:10 | | • |
| 73:4 74:7,10 | Ĭ . | | |
| 75:9,15 76:11 | WILLING- 73:6 | | |
| 77:20,23 | 74:7,10 | | |
| WARNER'S- 56:20 | WIRED- 75:12 | | |
| 71:15 75:23 | WISE- 35:19 | | |
| WARRANTY- 18:21, | WITNESS- 8:1,17, | | • |
| 23 20:7 | 21,24 17:11 | | |
| WASN'T- 23:18 | 24:19,24 25:1,3 | | |
| 36:21 37:22 | 29:21 32:2 | | |
| 56:20 63:15 73:11 | WON'T- 62:21 | | |
| WASTING- 71:15, | WORD- 35:24 | | |
| | WORDS- 19:11 | | |
| 18,19 | 65:13 | | |
| WATERGATE- 42:20 | | | |
| WAYS- 36:22 | WORK- 15:20 | | |
| WE'RE- 10:18 | 25:14 39:10 59:16 | | |
| 17:22 35:7,11 | WORKED- 25:16,19 | | |
| 36:15 40:16 65:1 | 27:4 | 1 | |
| 69:11 71:15,18 | WORKS- 61:1 | | |
| 74:18 75:6,7 | WORRIED- 33:2 | ; | |
| | WOULDN'T- 31:5 | | |
| | | | |